arXiv:1512.03848v2 [math.AC] 2 Jan 2016

DIRECTED UNIONS OF LOCAL QUADRATIC TRANSFORMS OF A REGULAR LOCAL RING

WILLIAM HEINZER, MEE-KYOUNG KIM[1], AND MATTHEW TOENISKOETTER

Abstract. Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a d-dimensional regular local domain with $d \geq 2$ and let V be a valuation domain birationally dominating R such that the residue field of V is algebraic over $R/\mathfrak{m}$. Let v be a valuation associated to V. Associated to R and V there exists an infinite directed family $\{(R_n, \mathfrak{m}_n)\}_{n\geq 0}$ of d-dimensional regular local rings dominated by V with $R = R_0$ and R_{n+1} the local quadratic transform of R_n along V. Let $S := \bigcup_{n\geq 0} R_n$. Abhyankar proves that $S = V$ if $d = 2$. Shannon observes that often S is properly contained in V if $d \geq 3$, and Granja gives necessary and sufficient conditions for S to be equal to V. The directed family $\{(R_n, \mathfrak{m}_n)\}_{n\geq 0}$ and the integral domain $S = \bigcup_{n\geq 0} R_n$ may be defined without first prescribing a dominating valuation domain V. If $\{(R_n, \mathfrak{m}_n)\}_{n\geq 0}$ switches strongly infinitely often, then $S = V$ is a rank one valuation domain and for nonzero elements f and g in $\mathfrak{m}$, we have $\frac{v(f)}{v(g)} = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\mathrm{ord}_{R_n}(f)}{\mathrm{ord}_{R_n}(g)}$. If $\{(R_n, \mathfrak{m}_n)\}_{n\geq 0}$ is a family of monomial local quadratic transforms, we give necessary and sufficient conditions for $\{(R_n, \mathfrak{m}_n)\}_{n\geq 0}$ to switch strongly infinitely often. If these conditions hold, then $S = V$ is a rank one valuation domain of rational rank d and v is a monomial valuation. Assume that V is rank one and birationally dominates S. Let $s = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} v(\mathfrak{m}_i)$. Granja, Martinez and Rodriguez show that $s = \infty$ implies $S = V$. We prove that s is finite if V has rational rank at least 2. In the case where V has maximal rational rank, we give a sharp upper bound for s and show that s attains this bound if and only if the sequence switches strongly infinitely often.

1. Introduction

Let $R = R_0$ be a d-dimensional regular local ring, and for each integer $n \geq 0$, let R_{n+1} be a d-dimensional local quadratic transform of R_n. Thus $\{(R_n, \mathfrak{m}_n)\}_{n\geq 0}$ is a directed family of d-dimensional regular local rings. Let $S := \bigcup_{n\geq 0} R_n$. If $d = 2$, Abhyankar proves in [A] that S is always a valuation domain. In the case where

Date: January 5, 2016.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 13H05, 13A18, 13C05; Secondary: 13E05, 13H15.

Key words and phrases. local quadratic transform, infinite directed family, switches strongly infinitely often, rank and rational rank of a valuation domain, transform of an ideal, monomial ideal, valuation ideal.

[1] This paper was supported by Faculty Research Fund, Sungkyunkwan University, 2013.

Correspondence with Alan Loper, Bruce Olberding and Hans Schoutens that motivated our interest in the work of Shannon and Granja on infinite directed unions of local quadratic transformations is gratefully acknowledged.

$d \geq 3$, Shannon in [S] and later Granja in [Gr] consider conditions in order that S be a valuation domain. In this connection, Shannon gives the following definition in [S, page 314].

Definition 1.1. Let $\{(R_n, \mathfrak{m}_n)\}_{n\geq 0}$ be an infinite directed family of local quadratic transforms of a regular local ring $(R, \mathfrak{m})$. We say that $\{(R_n, \mathfrak{m}_n)\}_{n\geq 0}$ **switches strongly infinitely often** if there does not exist an integer j and a height one prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}_j$ of R_j with the property that $\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} R_n \subset (R_j)_{\mathfrak{p}_j}$.

Assume that V is a rank one valuation domain that birationally dominates $S := \bigcup_{n\geq 0} R_n$. If V is non-discrete, Shannon proves in [S, Proposition 4.18] that $S = V$ if and only if $\{(R_n, \mathfrak{m}_n)\}_{n\geq 0}$ switches strongly infinitely often. It is observed in [GR, Theorem 6] that the proof given by Shannon also holds if V is rank one discrete.

Granja [Gr, Proposition 7] shows that if $S := \bigcup_{n\geq 0} R_n$ is a valuation domain V, then V has real rank either one or two, and in [Gr, Theorem 13], he characterizes the sequence of local quadratic transforms of R along V. If V has rank one, then $\{(R_n, \mathfrak{m}_n)\}_{n\geq 0}$ switches strongly infinitely often. If V has rank two, then the value group of V is $\mathbb{Z} \oplus G$, where G has rational rank one. In this case Granja proves [Gr, Theorem 13] that the sequence $\{(R_n, \mathfrak{m}_n)\}_{n\geq 0}$ is height one directed as in Definition 1.2.

Definition 1.2. Let $\{(R_n, \mathfrak{m}_n)\}_{n\geq 0}$ be an infinite directed family of local quadratic transforms of a regular local ring $(R, \mathfrak{m})$. The sequence $\{(R_n, \mathfrak{m}_n)\}_{n\geq 0}$ is **height one directed** if there exists a nonnegative integer j and a height one prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ of R_j such that $\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} R_n \subset (R_j)_{\mathfrak{p}}$, and if for some nonnegative integer k and some height one prime ideal $\mathfrak{q}$ of R_k we have $\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} R_n \subset (R_k)_{\mathfrak{q}}$, then $(R_j)_{\mathfrak{p}} = (R_k)_{\mathfrak{q}}$.

Let $\{(R_n, \mathfrak{m}_n)\}_{n\geq 0}$ be a directed family of Noetherian local domains. Assume that ord_{R_n} defines a valuation for each n. If $\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} R_n = V$ is a rank one valuation domain, we prove in Theorem 3.9 that the valuation v is related to the order valuations of the R_n as follows:

$$\frac{v(f)}{v(g)} \;=\; \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\mathrm{ord}_{R_n}(f)}{\mathrm{ord}_{R_n}(g)},$$

for all nonzero elements f, g in the maximal ideal of V.

Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a d-dimensional regular local ring with $d \geq 2$ and fix d elements $x, y, \ldots, z$ such that $\mathfrak{m} = (x, y, \ldots, z)R$. In Section 4, we consider finite sequences $R = R_0 \subset R_1 \subset \cdots \subset R_n$ of monomial local quadratic transforms. We prove in

Theorem 4.3 that the order in R of each nonzero $f \in \mathfrak{m}$ is greater than the order of the transform of f in R_n if and only if in passing from R_0 to R_n we transform in each monomial direction at least once.

In Section 5, we consider infinite sequences $\{(R_n, \mathfrak{m}_n)\}_{n\geq 0}$ of monomial local quadratic transforms. In Theorem 5.4, we prove that $\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} R_n$ is a rank one valuation domain if and only if the transform in each monomial direction occurs infinitely many times.

In Section 6, we present examples of infinite sequences of local quadratic transforms $\{(R_n, \mathfrak{m}_n)\}_{n\geq 0}$ that switch strongly infinitely often and have the property that $\bigcup_{\mathfrak{n}\geq 0} R_n = V$ is a rank one valuation ring having rational rank less than $\dim R$.

Let $\{(R_n, \mathfrak{m}_n)\}_{n\geq 0}$ be a directed sequence of local quadratic transforms along a zero-dimensional rank one valuation V. In Section 7, we consider the invariant $s = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} v(\mathfrak{m}_i)$. Granja, Martinez and Rodriguez prove in [GMR, Prop. 23] that $s = \infty$ implies $\bigcup_{n\geq 0} R_n = V$. We observe in Proposition 7.3 that s is finite if V has rational rank at least 2 and that $s = \infty$ or $s < \infty$ are both possible if V has rational rank one and is not a DVR. In the case where V has maximal rational rank, we give in Theorem 7.2 a sharp upper bound for s, and show that s attains this bound if and only if the sequence switches strongly infinitely often. In Theorem 7.5, we give necessary and sufficient conditions for a sequence $\{(R_n, \mathfrak{m}_n)\}_{n\geq 0}$ to be height one directed. This yields examples where $S = \bigcup_{n\geq 0} R_n$ is a rank 2 valuation domain with value group $\mathbb{Z} \oplus H$ such that H is rational rank one but not discrete.

We use $\mu(I)$ to denote the minimal number of generators of an ideal I, and $\lambda_R(M)$ to denote the length of an R-module M. We use the notation $A \subset B$ to denote that A is a subset of B that may be equal to B.

2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1. Let $R \subseteq T$ be unique factorization domains (UFDs) with R and T having the same field of fractions. Let p be a height-one prime in R. If $pT \cap R = p$, then there exists a unique height-one prime q in T such that $q \cap R = p$. We then have $R_p = T_q$. On the other hand, if $p \subsetneq pT \cap R$, then $(R \setminus p)^{-1}T = \mathcal{Q}(T)$, the field of fractions of T. The **transform** p^T of p in T is the ideal q if $pT \cap R = p$ and is the ring T if $p \subsetneq pT \cap R$. Thus

$$p^T = \begin{cases} q & \text{if} \quad p = pT \cap R, \\ T & \text{if} \quad p \subsetneq pT \cap R. \end{cases}$$

Let I be a nonzero ideal of R. Then I has a unique factorization $I = p_1^{a_1} \cdots p_n^{a_n} J$, where the p_i are principal prime ideals, the a_i are positive integers, and J is an ideal with $J^{-1} = R$.

(1) The **transform** I^T of I in T is the ideal

$$I^T = q_1^{a_1} \cdots q_n^{a_n} (JT)(JT)^{-1}$$

where $q_i = p_i^T$ for each i with $1 \leq i \leq n$.

(2) The **complete transform** of I in T is the completion $\overline{I^T}$ of I^T.

In the case where J is a nonzero principal ideal, the definition here of the transform agrees with the definition given by Granja [Gr, page 701] for the strict transform.

For an ideal I of a local ring $(R, \mathfrak{m})$, the **order** of I, denoted $\operatorname{ord}_R I$, is r if $I \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^r$ but $I \nsubseteq \mathfrak{m}^{r+1}$. If $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ is a regular local ring, the function that associates to an element $a \in R$, the order of the principal ideal aR, defines a discrete rank-one valuation, denoted ord_R on the field of fractions of R. The associated valuation ring (DVR) is called **the order valuation ring** of R.

Definition 2.2. Let V be a valuation domain corresponding to the valuation v. The **rank** of v is defined to be the Krull dimension of V. The **rational rank** of v, denoted $\operatorname{rat.rank} v$, is the rank of the value group Γ_v of V over $\mathbb{Q}$. Thus, $\operatorname{rat.rank} v = \dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(\Gamma_v \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q})$.

Definition 2.3. Let R be an integral domain. An ideal I of R is said to be a **valuation ideal** if there exists a valuation domain V such that $R \subseteq V \subseteq \mathcal{Q}(R)$ and $IV \cap R = I$. We then say that I is a V**-ideal** in R, and if v is a valuation corresponding to V that I is a v**-ideal** in R.

If R is a subring of a valuation domain V and $\mathfrak{m}_V$ is the maximal ideal of V, then the prime ideal $\mathfrak{m}_V \cap R$ of R is called **the center** of V on R. Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian local domain with field of fractions $\mathcal{Q}(R)$. A valuation domain $(V, \mathfrak{m}_V)$ is said to **birationally dominate** R if $R \subseteq V \subseteq \mathcal{Q}(R)$ and $\mathfrak{m}_V \cap R = \mathfrak{m}$, that is, $\mathfrak{m}$ is the center of V on R. We write $R \overset{b.d.}{\subset} V$ to denote that V birationally dominates R. The valuation domain V is said to be a **prime divisor** of R if V birationally dominates R and the transcendence degree of the field $V/\mathfrak{m}_V$ over $R/\mathfrak{m}$ is $\dim R - 1$. If V is a prime divisor of R, then V is a DVR [A, p. 330].

Definition 2.4. Let R be a local domain with maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ and let V be a valuation domain that birationally dominates R. Let v be the valuation associated

with V. The **dimension** of v on R, or the **dimension** of V over R, is defined to be the transcendence degree of the residue field $k(v)$ of v over the field $R/\mathfrak{m}$.

The **quadratic dilatation** or **blowup** of $\mathfrak{m}$ along V, cf. [N, page 141], is the unique local ring on the blowup $\mathrm{Bl}_{\mathfrak{m}}(R)$ of $\mathfrak{m}$ that is dominated by V. The ideal $\mathfrak{m}V$ is principal and is generated by an element of $\mathfrak{m}$. Let $a \in \mathfrak{m}$ be such that $aV = \mathfrak{m}V$. Then $R[\mathfrak{m}/a] \subset V$. Let $Q := \mathfrak{m}_V \cap R[\mathfrak{m}/a]$. Then $R[\mathfrak{m}/a]_Q$ is the **quadratic transformation of** R **along** V. In the special case where $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ is a d-dimensional regular local domain we use the following terminology.

Definition 2.5. Let d be a positive integer and let $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ be a d-dimensional regular local ring with maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ and residue field k. Let $x \in \mathfrak{m} \setminus \mathfrak{m}^2$ and let $S_1 := R[\frac{\mathfrak{m}}{x}]$. The ring S_1 is a d-dimensional regular ring in the sense that each localization of S_1 at a prime ideal is a regular local ring. To see this, observe that S_1/xS_1 is isomorphic to a polynomial ring in $d-1$ variables over the field k, cf. [SH, Corollary 5.5.9], and $S_1[1/x] = R[1/x]$ is a regular ring. Moreover, S_1 is a UFD since x is a prime element of S_1 and $S_1[1/x] = R[1/x]$ is a UFD, cf. [M, Theorem 20.2]. Let I be an $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideal of R with $r := \mathrm{ord}_R(I)$. Then one has in S_1

$$IS_1 = x^r I_1 \quad \text{for some ideal} \quad I_1 \quad \text{of} \quad S_1.$$

It follows that either $I_1 = S_1$ or $\mathrm{ht}\, I_1 \geq 2$. Thus I_1 is the transform I^{S_1} of I in S_1 as in Definition 2.1.

Let $\mathfrak{p}$ be a prime ideal of $R[\frac{\mathfrak{m}}{x}]$ with $\mathfrak{m} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$. The local ring

$$R_1 := R[\frac{\mathfrak{m}}{x}]_{\mathfrak{p}} = (S_1)_{\mathfrak{p}}$$

is called a **local quadratic transform** of R; the ideal I_1R_1 is the transform of I in R_1 as in Definition 2.1.

Remark 2.6. Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a regular local ring and let $(R_1, \mathfrak{m}_1)$ be a local quadratic transform of R as in Definition 2.5. If $\mathfrak{q}$ is a nonzero prime ideal of R_1 such that $\mathfrak{q} \cap R =: \mathfrak{p}$ is properly contained in $\mathfrak{m}$, then $R_{\mathfrak{p}} = (R_1)_{\mathfrak{q}}$.

Proof. We have $R_{\mathfrak{p}} \subseteq (R_1)_{\mathfrak{q}}$, and $(R_1)_{\mathfrak{q}}$ dominates $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Since R_1 is a localization of $S_1 = R[\frac{\mathfrak{m}}{x}]$, we have $xR_1 \cap R = \mathfrak{m}$. Hence $\mathfrak{q} \cap R \neq \mathfrak{m}$ implies that $x \notin \mathfrak{p}$. Thus $R[\frac{1}{x}] \subset R_{\mathfrak{p}}$, and so $R \subset S_1 \subset R_{\mathfrak{p}} \subseteq (R_1)_{\mathfrak{q}}$. Since $(R_1)_{\mathfrak{q}}$ is a localization of S_1 and $\mathfrak{q}(R_1)_{\mathfrak{q}} \cap S_1 = \mathfrak{p}\, R_{\mathfrak{p}} \cap S_1$, we have $R_{\mathfrak{p}} = (R_1)_{\mathfrak{q}}$. □

Definition 2.7. Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a d-dimensional regular local ring and consider a sequence of local quadratic transforms along R,

$$R = R_0 \subset R_1 \subset \ldots \subset R_n.$$

We say **there is a change of direction** from R_0 to R_n if $\mathfrak{m}_0 \subset \mathfrak{m}_n^2$.

Remark 2.8. Assume the notation of Definition 2.7. Let V be a valuation domain birationally dominating R_n and let v be a valuation associated to V. There is a change of direction from R_0 to R_n if and only if $v(\mathfrak{m}_0) > v(\mathfrak{m}_{n-1})$.

Proof. It suffices to prove the case where $n = 2$. Assume that $v(\mathfrak{m}_0) = v(\mathfrak{m}_1)$. We show there is no change of direction from R_0 to R_2. Let $x \in \mathfrak{m}_0$ be such that $v(x) = v(\mathfrak{m}_0)$. Then R_2 is a localization of $R_1[\frac{\mathfrak{m}_1}{x}]$. Hence $x \in \mathfrak{m}_2 \setminus \mathfrak{m}_2^2$.

Conversely, assume that $v(\mathfrak{m}_0) > v(\mathfrak{m}_1)$. We show there is a change of direction from R_0 to R_2. Let $x \in \mathfrak{m}_0$. Let $w \in \mathfrak{m}_1$ be such that $v(w) = v(\mathfrak{m}_1)$. Then R_2 is a localization of $R_1[\frac{\mathfrak{m}_1}{w}]$. Since $v(x) \geq v(\mathfrak{m}_0) > v(\mathfrak{m}_1) = v(w)$, we have $\frac{x}{w} \in \mathfrak{m}_2$. Thus $x = w\frac{x}{w} \in \mathfrak{m}_2^2$. We conclude that $\mathfrak{m}_0 \subset \mathfrak{m}_2^2$. □

3. Directed unions of local quadratic transforms

Remark 3.1. If $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ is a 2-dimensional regular local ring, then a well-known result of Abhyankar [A, Lemma12] states that an infinite directed union of local quadratic transforms of R is always a valuation domain. Examples given by Shannon in [S, Examples 4.7 and 4.17] show that this fails in general if $d \geq 3$.

Remark 3.2. Let $\{(R_n, \mathfrak{m}_n)\}_{n\geq 0}$ be an infinite directed family of local quadratic transforms of a regular local ring R. As shown by Granja in [Gr, Lemma 10], the following are equivalent:

(1) The sequence $\{(R_n, \mathfrak{m}_n)\}_{n\geq 0}$ switches strongly infinitely often.
(2) For each integer $j \geq 0$ and nonzero element $f \in R_j$, there exists a positive integer $n \geq j$ such that the transform $(fR_j)^{R_n} = R_n$.

Let V be a valuation domain birationally dominating a regular local ring $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ and let $\{(R_n, \mathfrak{m}_n)\}_{n\geq 0}$ be the family of local quadratic transforms of R along V. Granja in [Gr, Theorem 13] proves the following:

Remark 3.3. Let $\{(R_n, \mathfrak{m}_n)\}_{n\geq 0}$ be an infinite directed family of local quadratic transforms of a regular local ring R. If $\{R_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ switches strongly infinitely often, then $S := \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} R_n$ is a rank one valuation domain.

Proof. To prove that S is a valuation domain, it suffices to show for nonzero elements $f, g \in R := R_0$ that either f/g or g/f is in R_n for some $n > 0$. Let $I = (f, g)R$. We prove the assertion by induction on $\operatorname{ord}_R(I)$. The case where $\operatorname{ord}_R(I) = 0$ is clear. Let $\operatorname{ord}_R(I) = r > 0$, and assume the assertion holds for nonnegative integers less than r. We may assume that $r = \operatorname{ord}_R(f) \leq \operatorname{ord}_R(g)$. Let $I^{R_1} = (f_1, g_1)R_1$, where $\frac{f_1}{g_1} = \frac{f}{g}$, denote the transform of I in R_1. Since $r = \operatorname{ord}_R(f) = \operatorname{ord}_R(I)$, the ideal f_1R_1 is the transform of fR in R_1. If $\operatorname{ord}_{R_1}(f_1) < r$ or $\operatorname{ord}_{R_1}(g_1) < r$, then we are done by the induction hypothesis. Otherwise, $\operatorname{ord}_{R_1}(I_1) = \operatorname{ord}_{R_1}(f_1) = r$ and $I_1 := (f_1, g_1)R_1$ satisfies the same hypotheses as I. Let $I^{R_2} = (f_2, g_2)R_2$, where $\frac{f_2}{g_2} = \frac{f}{g}$, denote the transform of I in R_2, and continue in this way to define $I_n = (f_n, g_n)R_n$. Since the sequence switches strongly infinitely often, there exists a positive integer n such that either $\operatorname{ord}_{R_n}(g_n) < r$ or the strict transform f_n of f in R_n has $\operatorname{ord}_{R_n}(f_n) < r$. This proves that $S = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} R_n$ is a valuation domain.

Assume that $\dim S > 1$ and let P be a nonzero nonmaximal prime ideal of S. For each $n \geq 0$, let $P_n := P \cap R_n$. Then $P = \bigcup_{n\geq 0} P_n$ and $S_P = \bigcup_{n\geq 0}(R_n)_{P_n}$. There exists a positive integer t for which P_t is a nonzero, nonmaximal prime ideal of the regular local ring R_t. For each positive integer i, we have $P_{t+i} \cap R_t = P_t$. Therefore by Remark 2.6 $(R_t)_{P_t} = (R_{t+i})_{P_{t+i}}$. It follows that $S_P = (R_t)_{P_t}$, a contradiction to our hypothesis that $\{R_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ switches strongly infinitely often. We conclude that $\dim S = 1$ and S is a rank one valuation domain. □

We use the following setting to observe in Theorem 3.5 a connection between valuation ideals and an infinite directed family that switches strongly infinitely often.

Setting 3.4. Let $\{(R_n, \mathfrak{m}_n)\}_{n\geq 0}$ be an infinite directed family of local quadratic transforms of a regular local ring R. Assume that $\{(R_n, \mathfrak{m}_n)\}_{n\geq 0}$ switches strongly infinitely often and let $V = \bigcup_{n\geq 0} R_n$. As noted in Remark 3.3, V is a rank one valuation domain. Let v be a valuation associated to V. By [ZS2, Lemma 3, p. 343], the V-ideals of R form a descending sequence $\{I_n\}_{n\geq 0}$, and $\bigcap_{n\geq 0} I_n = (0)$.

Theorem 3.5. *Assume notation as in Setting 3.4. Then there exists an ascending sequence of integers $\{\tau_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ such that for each valuation domain W that birationally dominates R_{τ_n}, the ideals $I_1, \ldots, I_n$ are valuation ideals for W.*

Proof. We construct the sequence $\{\tau_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ by induction on n. Suppose we have constructed the sequence up to length n. Since the sequence of local quadratic transforms switches strongly infinitely often, there exists an integer $\tau_{n+1} \geq \tau_n$ such

that $I_nR_{\tau_{n+1}}$ is principal, say $I_nR_{\tau_{n+1}} = gR_{\tau_{n+1}}$, where $g \in I_n$. Since V birationally dominates $R_{\tau_{n+1}}$, it is clear that $v(g) = v(I_n)$. Notice that we may take g to be any element in I_n such that $v(g) = v(I_n)$. Let h be another such element. Since $h \in gR_{\tau_{n+1}}$, $\frac{h}{g} \in R_{\tau_{n+1}}$. Since V birationally dominates $R_{\tau_{n+1}}$ and $v(g) = v(h)$, the element $\frac{h}{g}$ is a unit in $R_{\tau_{n+1}}$, so $gR_{\tau_{n+1}} = hR_{\tau_{n+1}}$.

Let W birationally dominate $R_{\tau_{n+1}}$. Then W birationally dominates R_{τ_n}. By the induction hypothesis, $I_0, \ldots, I_n$ are W-ideals. To see that I_{n+1} is a W-ideal, consider $J = I_{n+1}W \cap R_0$. Since $I_{n+1} \subsetneq I_n$ and I_n is a W-ideal, we have $I_{n+1} \subset J \subset I_n$. Suppose by way of contradiction that $J \neq I_{n+1}$. Let $f \in J \setminus I_{n+1}$. Since $I_{n+1} = \{a \in R_0 \mid v(a) > v(I_n)\}$, it follows that $v(f) \leq v(I_n)$. Because $f \in I_n$, we have $v(f) = v(I_n)$. Thus $I_nR_{\tau_{n+1}} = fR_{\tau_{n+1}}$, so $J = I_n$. Since $I_{n+1} \subsetneq I_n$ and $I_nR_{\tau_{n+1}} = fR_{\tau_{n+1}}$, there exists a proper ideal L of $R_{\tau_{n+1}}$ such that $I_{n+1}R_{\tau_{n+1}} = fL$. Since L is a proper ideal of $R_{\tau_{n+1}}$ and W dominates $R_{\tau_{n+1}}$, we have $w(L) > 0$. Since $J = fLW \cap R$ and $f \in J$, it follows that $f \in fLW$, which contradicts the fact that $w(L) > 0$. □

Remark 3.6. Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian local domain and let V be a valuation domain birationally dominating R such that the vector space dimension of $V/\mathfrak{m}_V$ over $R/\mathfrak{m}$ denoted $\dim_{R/\mathfrak{m}} V/\mathfrak{m}_V = e < \infty$. Let $\{I_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ be the sequence of V-ideals of R. Then $1 \leq \lambda_R(I_n/I_{n+1}) \leq e$ for all $n \geq 0$. To see this, fix an integer n, and consider the natural embedding as R-modules,

$$\frac{I_n}{I_{n+1}} \cong \frac{I_nV \cap R}{\mathfrak{m}_V I_nV \cap R} \hookrightarrow \frac{I_nV}{\mathfrak{m}_V I_nV} \cong \frac{V}{\mathfrak{m}_V},$$

where the last isomorphism follows because $I_nV = fV$, for some element $f \in I_n$. Thus there is an R-module embedding of I_n/I_{n+1} into $V/\mathfrak{m}_V$, and hence we have $0 < \lambda_R(I_n/I_{n+1}) \leq \lambda_R(V/\mathfrak{m}_V) = e$. In particular, if $R/\mathfrak{m} = R_n/\mathfrak{m}_n$ for all $n \geq 0$ and $\{I_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ is the sequence of V-ideals in R, then $\lambda_R(I_n/I_{n+1}) = 1$ for all $n \geq 0$.

Remark 3.7. Let R and the family $\{(R_n, \mathfrak{m}_n)\}_{n\geq 0}$ be as in Theorem 3.5. It is natural to ask about conditions on the integer τ_n given in Theorem 3.5. The smaller the integer τ_n, the sharper the assertion. We describe the situation in more detail in Discussion 3.8

Discussion 3.8. Let the ring R, the family of local quadratic transforms $\{(R_n, \mathfrak{m}_n)\}_{n\geq 0}$, and the descending chain of ideals $\{I_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ be as in Theorem 3.5. Let $\mathcal{S}$ denote the

set of valuation domains that birationally dominate R. For each integer $n \geq 0$, let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_n &= \{W \in \mathcal{S} \mid I_0, I_1, \ldots, I_n \quad \text{are all } W\text{-ideals}\}, \\ \mathcal{T}_n &= \{W \in \mathcal{S} \mid R_n \overset{b.d.}{\subset} W\}. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that $\mathcal{S}_0 = \mathcal{S}_1 = \mathcal{S} = \mathcal{T}_0$ and the families $\{\mathcal{S}_n\}$ and $\{\mathcal{T}_n\}$ are both linearly ordered with respect to inclusion and decreasing. Since $I_2 = P_{RR_1}$ is the special $*$-simple complete ideal in R associated to R_1 as defined by Lipman in [L, Proposition 2.1], we also have $\mathcal{S}_2 = \mathcal{T}_1$. Associated with these sequences, we define:

$$\begin{aligned} s_n &= \min\{\, j \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid \mathcal{T}_j \subseteq \mathcal{S}_n\}. \\ t_n &= \begin{cases} \min\{\, j \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid \mathcal{S}_j \subseteq \mathcal{T}_n\}, \\ \infty \quad \text{if } \mathcal{S}_j \text{ is not contained in } \mathcal{T}_n \text{ for all } j. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

We have $s_0 = 0 = t_0$ and $s_1 = 0$, while $t_1 = 2$ and $s_2 = 1$. The sequences $\{s_n\}$ and $\{t_n\}$ are increasing in the sense that $s_n \leq s_{n+1}$ and $t_n \leq t_{n+1}$ for each $n \geq 0$.

Theorem 3.5 implies that for each positive integer n there exists a positive integer τ_n such that

$$\mathcal{T}_{\tau_n} \subseteq \mathcal{S}_n \quad \text{that is,} \quad W \in \mathcal{T}_{\tau_n} \implies W \in \mathcal{S}_n.$$

Thus τ_n is any integer such that $\tau_n \geq s_n$. The proof of Theorem 3.5 also proves that:

$$s_n \leq \min\{\, j \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid I_\mu R_j \text{ is principal for all } \mu \text{ with } 0 \leq \mu \leq n-1\}. \tag{1}$$

Theorem 3.9 applies to a directed family $\{(R_n, \mathfrak{m}_n)\}_{n\geq 0}$ of Noetherian local domains such that $\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} R_n$ is a rank one valuation domain.

Theorem 3.9. *Let $\{(R_n, \mathfrak{m}_n)\}_{n\geq 0}$ be an infinite family of Noetherian local domains such that R_n is a subring of R_{n+1} for each integer $n \geq 0$. Assume that ord_{R_n} defines a valuation for each integer $n \geq 0$, and that $\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} R_n = V$ is a rank one valuation domain. Let v denote a valuation associated to V, and let f, g be nonzero elements in the maximal ideal of V. Then for n sufficiently large, f and g are in $\mathfrak{m}_n$, and we have*

$$\frac{v(f)}{v(g)} = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\operatorname{ord}_{R_n}(f)}{\operatorname{ord}_{R_n}(g)}.$$

Proof. Let $L = \frac{v(f)}{v(g)}$ and let $\epsilon > 0$. There exist positive integers p and q such that

$$L - \epsilon < \frac{p}{q} \leq L < \frac{p+1}{q} < L + \epsilon.$$

Then

$$\frac{p}{q} \leq L = \frac{v(f)}{v(g)} < \frac{p+1}{q}$$

implies that

$$pv(g) \ \le \ qv(f) \ < \ (p+1)v(g) \qquad \text{and} \qquad v(g^p) \ \le \ v(f^q) \ < \ v(g^{p+1}).$$

For n sufficiently large, we have $\frac{f^q}{g^p}, \frac{g^{p+1}}{f^q} \in R_n$. Therefore

$$\operatorname{ord}_{R_n}(g^p) \le \operatorname{ord}_{R_n}(f^q) < \operatorname{ord}_{R_n}(g^{p+1}).$$

It follows that

$$\frac{p}{q} \le \frac{\operatorname{ord}_{R_n}(f)}{\operatorname{ord}_{R_n}(g)} < \frac{p+1}{q}.$$

We conclude that

$$L - \epsilon < \frac{\operatorname{ord}_{R_n}(f)}{\operatorname{ord}_{R_n}(g)} < L + \epsilon$$

for all sufficiently large integers n. □

Corollary 3.10 is immediate from Theorem 3.9.

Corollary 3.10. *Let $\{(R_n, \mathfrak{m}_n)\}_{n\ge 0}$ be an infinite directed family of local quadratic transforms of a regular local ring R. Assume that $\{(R_n, \mathfrak{m}_n)\}_{n\ge 0}$ switches strongly infinitely often, so $V = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} R_n$ is a rank 1 valuation. Let v be a valuation associated to V. Then for nonzero $f, g \in \mathfrak{m}$, we have*

$$\frac{v(f)}{v(g)} \ = \ \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\operatorname{ord}_{R_n}(f)}{\operatorname{ord}_{R_n}(g)}.$$

4. Directed unions of monomial local quadratic transforms

Definition 4.1. Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a d-dimensional regular local ring with $d \ge 2$, and fix d elements $x, y, \ldots, z$ such that $\mathfrak{m} := (x, y, \ldots, z)R$. An element $p \in R$ is called a **monomial in** $x, y, \ldots, z$ if there exists $(\alpha, \beta, \ldots, \gamma) \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$ such that $p = x^\alpha y^\beta \cdots z^\gamma$.

An ideal I of R is said to be a **monomial ideal** if I is generated by monomials. Let

$${}^xA \ = \ R\big[\frac{\mathfrak{m}}{x}\big] = R\big[\frac{y}{x}, \ \ldots, \ \frac{z}{x}\big] \qquad x_1 \ := \ x, \quad y_1 := \ \frac{y}{x}, \quad \ldots, \quad z_1 \ := \ \frac{z}{x}.$$

If I is a monomial ideal in R, the transform of I in ${}^xA$ is generated by elements of the form $x_1^a y_1^b \cdots z_1^c$ with $a, b, \ldots, c \in \mathbb{N}_0$. This motivates us to define an ideal J of ${}^xA$ to be a **monomial ideal** if J is generated by monomials in $x_1, y_1, \ldots, z_1$. We consider monomial quadratic transformations of R defined as follows: the ring ${}^xR = R\big[\frac{\mathfrak{m}}{x}\big]_{(x, \ \frac{y}{x}, \ \ldots, \ \frac{z}{x})}$ is a **monomial local quadratic transformation** of R **in the x-direction**. An ideal J of ${}^xR$ is said to be a **monomial ideal** if J is generated by monomials in $x_1, y_1, \ldots, z_1$.

In a similar manner, we define ${}^yR$, ..., ${}^zR$ to be the **local monomial quadratic transformations** of R in the **y-direction**, ..., **z-direction**, respectively, where

$${}^yR \;=\; R\big[\frac{\mathfrak{m}}{y}\big]_{(\frac{x}{y},\ y,\ \ldots,\ \frac{z}{y})},\ \ldots,\ {}^zR = R\big[\frac{\mathfrak{m}}{z}\big]_{(\frac{x}{z},\ \frac{y}{z},\ \ldots,\ z)}.$$

We define an ideal of ${}^yR$, ..., ${}^zR$ to be a **monomial ideal** if it is generated by monomials in the respective rings. We refer to the elements in the fixed set of minimal generators of the regular local ring as **variables**.

For a monomial ideal I of one of these rings, there exists a unique set of monomial minimal generators of I, [KS, Corollary 4]. We let $\Delta(I)$ denote the set of monomial minimal generators of I.

Setting 4.2. Let $(R,\mathfrak{m})$ and $x,y,\ldots,z$ be as in Definition 4.1. For n a positive integer, consider a sequence of regular local rings

$$\{(R_i,\mathfrak{m}_i)\}_{i=0}^n \;\equiv\; R \;=:\; R_0 \;\subset\; R_1 \;\subset\; R_2 \;\subset\cdots\subset\; R_n, \tag{2}$$

where R_{i+1} is a monomial local quadratic transformation of R_i, for each $i<n$

$$\mathfrak{m}_i = (x_i,y_i,\ldots,z_i)R_i \quad \text{ and } \quad \mathfrak{m}_{i+1} = (x_{i+1},y_{i+1},\ldots,z_{i+1})R_{i+1},$$

and where one of the following d-cases occur:

$$x_{i+1} := x_i,\quad y_{i+1} := \frac{y_i}{x_i},\quad \cdots\quad , z_{i+1} := \frac{z_i}{x_i},$$

in which case we say the transform from R_i to R_{i+1} is **in the x-direction**, or

$$x_{i+1} := \frac{x_i}{y_i},\quad y_{i+1} := y_i,\quad \cdots\quad , z_{i+1} := \frac{z_i}{y_i},$$

in which case we say the transform from R_i to R_{i+1} is **in the y-direction**, or

$$\vdots$$

$$x_{i+1} := \frac{x_i}{z_i},\quad y_{i+1} := \frac{y_i}{z_i},\ \cdots\quad , z_{i+1} := z_i,$$

in which case we say the transform from R_i to R_{i+1} is **in the z-direction**.

Let $\mathfrak{D}_x$(respectively, $\mathfrak{D}_y,\ \ldots,\ \mathfrak{D}_z$) denote the set of integers $i\in\{0,1,\ldots,n-1\}$ for which the transform from R_i to R_{i+1} is in the x-direction (respectively, the y-direction, ..., the z-direction).

Theorem 4.3. *Let notation be as in Setting 4.2. The following are equivalent:*

(1) *The sets $\mathfrak{D}_x,\ \mathfrak{D}_y,\ \ldots,\ \mathfrak{D}_z$ are all nonempty.*

(2) *For every nonzero $f\in\mathfrak{m}$, we have* $\operatorname{ord}_{R_n}(fR)^{R_n} < \operatorname{ord}_R(f)$.

Proof. Assume that item 1 fails. Then at least one of the sets $\mathfrak{D}_x,\ \mathfrak{D}_y,\ \ldots,\ \mathfrak{D}_z$ is empty. By relabeling, we may assume that $\mathfrak{D}_x$ is empty. Then $R_n \subset R_{xR}$ and we have $\mathrm{ord}_{R_n}(xR)^{R_n} = 1 = \mathrm{ord}_R(x)$.

Assume that item 1 holds and fix a nonzero element $f \in \mathfrak{m}$. Let $r := \mathrm{ord}_R(f) > 0$. The order of the transform of fR never increases, cf [HKT, Lemma 3.6]. By [HubS, Proposition 1.3], there exist monomials $g_1, \ldots, g_t$ and units $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_t$ in R such that

$$f \ = \ \lambda_1 g_1 + \cdots + \ \lambda_t g_t.$$

Let $g_i = x^{a_i} y^{b_i} \cdots z^{c_i}$ be a monomial with $\mathrm{ord}_R(g_i) = r$. By relabeling the variables, we may assume $a_i > 0$. Since the set $\mathfrak{D}_x$ is nonempty, there exists $s \in \{0, \ldots, n-1\}$ such that the transform from R_s to R_{s+1} is in the x-direction. Let s be minimal with this property.

If $\mathrm{ord}_{R_s}(fR)^{R_s} < r$, we are done. Otherwise, we have $\mathrm{ord}_{R_s}(fR)^{R_s} = r$, and there exists a monomial q in $x_s, y_s, \ldots, z_s$ such that

$$(fR)^{R_s} = (\frac{f}{q})R_s = (\frac{g_1}{q}\lambda_1 + \ldots + \frac{g_t}{q}\lambda_t)R_s.$$

The elements $\frac{g_1}{q}, \ldots, \frac{g_t}{q}$ are distinct monomials in $x_s, y_s, \ldots, z_s$. Since ord_{R_s} is a monomial valuation and $\mathrm{ord}_{R_s}(fR)^{R_s} = r$, we have $\mathrm{ord}_{R_s}(g_iR)^{R_s} = r$. Thus

$$(g_iR)^{R_s} \ = \ (\frac{g_i}{q})R_s \ = \ (x_s^{a_i} y_s^{b_i} \cdots z_s^{c_i})R_s.$$

Since the transform from R_s to R_{s+1} is in the x-direction, $\mathrm{ord}_{R_{s+1}}(g_iR)^{R_{s+1}} = r - a_i < r$. Set $q' = qx_s^r$. Then

$$(fR)^{R_{s+1}} \ = \ (\frac{f}{q'})R_{s+1} \ = \ (\frac{g_1}{q'}\lambda_1 + \ldots + \frac{g_t}{q'}\lambda_t)R_{s+1}.$$

We have $\frac{g_i}{q'}R_{s+1} = (g_iR)^{R_{s+1}}$. Since $\mathrm{ord}_{R_{s+1}}$ is a monomial valuation and the monomials $\frac{g_1}{q'}, \ldots, \frac{g_t}{q'}$ are distinct, we have $\mathrm{ord}_{R_{s+1}}(fR)^{s+1} \leq r - a_i < r$. This completes the proof of Theorem 4.3. □

Remark 4.4. For the transform of an ideal as defined in Definition 2.1, we observe some properties of the transform of a monomial ideal with respect to a monomial local quadratic transform from R_0 to R_1.

Let $(R_1, \mathfrak{m}_1)$ be the monomial local quadratic transformation of R_0 in the w-direction, where $w \in \Delta(\mathfrak{m}_0)$, and $\mathfrak{m}_1 := (x_1, y_1, \ldots, w_1, \ldots, z_1)$, where

$$x_1 \ := \ \frac{x}{w}, \quad y_1 \ := \ \frac{y}{w}, \ \ldots \ , \ w_1 \ := \ w, \ \ldots \ , \ z_1 \ := \ \frac{z}{w}.$$

Let $p := x^{\alpha_1} y^{\beta_1} \cdots w^{\eta_1} \cdots z^{\gamma_1}$ and $q := x^{\alpha_2} y^{\beta_2} \cdots w^{\eta_2} \cdots z^{\gamma_2}$ be distinct monomials in R and let $I := (p, q)R$. Assume that $r := \mathrm{ord}_R(p) \leq \mathrm{ord}_R(q) := s$. Thus

$r = \operatorname{ord}_R(I)$. In R_1, the monomials p and q can be written as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} p :&= x^{\alpha_1}y^{\beta_1}\cdots w^{\eta_1}\cdots z^{\gamma_1} \\ &= (wx_1)^{\alpha_1}(wy_1)^{\beta_1}\cdots w^{\eta_1}\cdots (wz_1)^{\gamma_1} \\ &= w_1^r(x_1^{\alpha_1}y_1^{\beta_1}\ \cdots w_1^0\cdots\ z_1^{\gamma_1}) \ =\ w_1^r p_1 \ =\ w^r p_1 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} q :&= x^{\alpha_2}y^{\beta_2}\cdots w^{\eta_2}\cdots z^{\gamma_2} \\ &= (wx_1)^{\alpha_2}(wy_1)^{\beta_2}\cdots w^{\eta_2}\cdots (wz_1)^{\gamma_2} \\ &= w_1^r(x_1^{\alpha_2}y_1^{\beta_2}\ \cdots w_1^{s-r}\cdots\ z_1^{\gamma_2}) \ =\ w_1^r q_1 \ =\ w^r q_1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $I_1 := I^{R_1} = (p_1, q_1)R_1$ is the transform of I in R_1. We have

$$\operatorname{ord}_{R_1}(I_1) \le \operatorname{ord}_{R_1}(p_1) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \operatorname{ord}_{R_1}(p_1) = r - \eta_1 \le \operatorname{ord}_R(p). \tag{3}$$

Hence if $\eta_1 > 0$, then $\operatorname{ord}_{R_1}(I_1) < \operatorname{ord}_R(I)$.

It is observed in [HKT, Lemma 3.6] that $\operatorname{ord}_{R_1}(I^{R_1}) \ \le\ \operatorname{ord}_{R_0}(I)$ even without the assumption that I is a monomial ideal.

Remark 4.5. Let notation be as in Setting 4.2, and let V be a valuation domain that dominates R_n. The following are equivalent:

(1) The transform from R_0 to R_1 is in the x-direction.
(2) $v(x) < v(w)$ for each $w \in \Delta(\mathfrak{m})$ with $w \ne x$.
(3) $\mathfrak{m}\,V = xV$.

Assume that the transform from R_0 to R_1 is in the x-direction. By relabeling the variables, we may assume that

$$v(y) \ =\ \min\big\{v(w) \mid w \in \Delta(\mathfrak{m}) \quad \text{with} \ \ w \ne x\big\}.$$

With this assumption, the following are equivalent:

(a) The set $\mathfrak{D}_y$ is nonempty.
(b) The set $\mathfrak{D}_y \cup \cdots \cup \mathfrak{D}_z$ is nonempty.
(c) There exists a positive integer s in $\{1, \dots, n-1\}$ such that the transform from R_0 to R_s is in the x-direction and the transform from R_s to R_{s+1} is in the y-direction.
(d) We have $sv(x) < v(y) < (s+1)v(x)$ for some s in $\{1, \dots, n-1\}$.

Remark 4.6. Let notation be as in Remark 4.5. Assume that the sets $\mathfrak{D}_x$, $\mathfrak{D}_y$, $\dots$, $\mathfrak{D}_z$ are all nonempty. Label the variables $x, y, \dots, z$ in $\Delta(\mathfrak{m})$ as $w_1, w_2, \dots w_d$, where

$i < j$ if the sequence $\{R_i\}_{i=0}^n$ contains a transform in the w_i-direction before it contains a transform in the w_j-direction. Thus with the assumptions of Remark 4.5, we have $w_1 = x$, $w_2 = y$, and $v(w_i) < v(w_j)$ if and only if $i < j$.

Definition 4.7. Let V be a valuation domain, and let $b_1, \ldots, b_s$ be nonzero elements of V. We say that $b_1, \ldots, b_s$ are **comparable in** V if $\sqrt{b_1V} = \cdots = \sqrt{b_sV}$, that is, the ideals b_iV and b_jV have the same radical for all i and j between 1 and s.

Proposition 4.8. *Let notation be as in Remark 4.6. Thus the sets* $\mathfrak{D}_x$, $\mathfrak{D}_y$, $\ldots$, $\mathfrak{D}_z$ *are all nonempty, and our fixed regular system of parameters* $(x, y, \ldots, z)$ *is also denoted* $(w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_d)$. *Let* $a_i := v(w_i)$ *for* $1 \le i \le d$. *Thus the tuple* $(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_d)$ *is the family of* v*-values of* $(w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_d)$. *Then we have:*

(1) $0 < a_1 < a_2 < \cdots < a_d$.

(2) *There exists a positive integer* s *such that* $sa_1 < a_2 < (s+1)a_1$.

(3) *We have*

$$(j-2)a_j < a_1 + a_2 + \cdots + a_{j-1} \quad \text{for } j \text{ with } 3 \le j \le d.$$

(4) *The elements* $w_1, \ldots, w_d$ *are comparable in* V.

Proof. Item 1 follows from Remark 4.6, and item 2 follows from Remark 4.5.

In order to give a detailed proof of item 3, we introduce more notation. For each $i \in \{0, 1, \ldots, n\}$ and each positive integer j with $1 \le j \le d$, we define w_{i_j} as follows:

$$\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{m}_0 = (x, y, \ldots, z)R_0 = (w_{0_1}, w_{0_2}, \ldots, w_{0_d})R_0$$
$$\mathfrak{m}_i = (x_i, y_i, \ldots, z_i)R_i = (w_{i_1}, w_{i_2}, \ldots, w_{i_d})R_i.$$

To prove item 3, it suffices to show that if $(\ell-2)a_\ell \ge a_1 + a_2 + \cdots + a_{\ell-1}$ for some ℓ with $3 \le \ell \le d$, then the set $\mathfrak{D}_{w_\ell}$ is empty. Let

$$\big(a_{0_1}, a_{0_2}, \ldots, a_{0_d}\big) = \big(v(w_{0_1}), v(w_{0_2}), \ldots, v(w_{0_d})\big),$$
$$\big(a_{k_1}, a_{k_2}, \ldots, a_{k_d}\big) = \big(v(w_{k_1}), v(w_{k_2}), \ldots, v(w_{k_d})\big) \quad \text{for each } k \ge 1.$$

With this notation, we show $(\ell-2)a_{k_\ell} \ge a_{k_1} + \cdots + a_{k_{\ell-1}}$ for all $k \ge 0$ by induction on k. By hypothesis $(\ell-2)a_{0_\ell} \ge a_{0_1} + \cdots + a_{0_{\ell-1}}$. Assume the assertion holds for k and let $e_k := \min\{a_{k_1}, a_{k_2}, \ldots, a_{k_{\ell-1}}\}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}(\ell-2)a_{k+1_\ell} &= (\ell-2)(a_{k_\ell} - e_k) = (\ell-2)a_{k_\ell} - (\ell-2)e_k \\ &\ge a_{k_1} + \cdots + a_{k_{\ell-1}} - (\ell-2)e_k \\ &= a_{k+1_1} + \cdots + a_{k+1_{\ell-1}}.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore the assumption that $\mathfrak{D}_{w_\ell}$ is nonempty implies that

$$(\ell-2)a_\ell \ < \ a_1+a_2+\cdots+a_{\ell-1}.$$

This proves item 3. Item 4 now follows from items 1, 2 and 3. □

5. Infinite Directed Unions of Monomial Local Quadratic Transforms

Remark 5.1. Let $(R,\mathfrak{m})$ be a d-dimensional regular local ring with $d\geq 2$, and let V be a valuation domain birationally dominating R and zero-dimensional over R. Let v be a valuation associated to V. Then there exists a uniquely defined infinite sequence

$$\{(R_n,\mathfrak{m}_n)\}_{n\geq 0} \ \equiv \ R=:R_0 \ \subset \ R_1 \ \subset \ R_2 \ \subset\cdots\subset \ R_n \ \subset \ \cdots\subset V \tag{4}$$

of local quadratic transforms of R along V.

(1) If the v-values $v(x),v(y),\ldots,v(z)$ of a regular system of parameters for $\mathfrak{m}$ are rationally independent real numbers, then the sequence given in Equation 4 is monomial with respect to this regular system of parameters. However, as Shannon shows in [S, Example 4.17], in this situation it is often the case that $S:=\bigcup_{n\geq 0}R_n$ is properly contained in V.

(2) If v is rank one discrete, then the sequence given in Equation 4 switches strongly infinitely often, that is $V=\bigcup_{n\geq 0}R_n$. To see this, let $\frac{p}{q}\in V$, with $p,q\in R$. Assume that from R to R_1, we divide by x, where $v(x)>0$. Let $p_1=p/x$ and $q_1=q/x$, so that $\frac{p}{q}=\frac{p_1}{q_1}$, where $v(q_1)<v(q)$. A simple induction argument shows that we can write $\frac{p}{q}=\frac{p_n}{q_n}$, where $p_n,q_n\in R_n$ and $v(q_n)=0$, for some n. See for example [HRW, Proposition 23.3]. Thus the sequence $\{(R_n,\mathfrak{m}_n)\}_{n\geq 0}$ switches strongly infinitely often.

(3) If V is rank one and the rational rank of V is less than d, it is possible that the field extension $R/\mathfrak{m}\subset V/\mathfrak{m}_v$ is an infinite algebraic field extension. This is illustrated in Example 4 of page 104 in [ZS2].

(4) Assume that V has rank one and rational rank r and that the local quadratic sequence $\{(R_n,\mathfrak{m}_n)\}_{n\geq 0}$ along V switches strongly infinitely often. Then for each sufficiently large integer n, there exists a sequence of elements $w_1,\ldots,w_r$ that are part of a regular system of parameters for $\mathfrak{m}_n$ and are such that $v(w_1),\ldots,v(w_r)$ are rationally independent.

Proof. Since V has rational rank r, there exist elements $a_1,\ldots,a_r$ in R such that $v(a_1),\ldots,v(a_r)$ are rationally independent. Since $V=\bigcup_{n\geq 0}R_n$, it

follows from [S, Prop. 4.18] that for sufficiently large integers n, the product $a_1 \cdots a_r$ is a monomial with respect to a regular system of parameters $w_1, \ldots, w_d$ of R_n. It follows that $v(a_1), \ldots, v(a_r)$ are in the $\mathbb{Q}$-linear subspace L of $\mathbb{R}$ spanned by $v(w_1), \ldots, v(w_d)$. Since $v(a_1), \ldots, v(a_r)$ are linearly independent over $\mathbb{Q}$, the vector space L has rank at least r. By re-labeling the w_i, it follows that $v(w_1), \ldots, v(w_r)$ are rationally independent. □

We observe in Proposition 5.2 that an infinite sequence $\{(R_n, \mathfrak{m}_n)\}_{n\geq 0}$ of local quadratic transforms that switches strongly infinitely often is eventually monomial if $V = \bigcup_{n\geq 0} R_n$ has maximal rational rank .

Proposition 5.2. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$, be a d-dimensional regular local ring and let V be a rank 1, rational rank d valuation domain birationally dominating R. Consider the infinite sequence*

$$R =: R_0 \subset R_1 \subset \cdots \subset R_n \subset \cdots \subset V$$

of local quadratic transforms of R along V. If $V = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} R_n$, then there exists an integer $n \geq 0$ and a regular system of parameters $x, y, \ldots, z$ of R_n such that the sequence $\{R_i\}_{i\geq n}$ is monomial in these regular parameters.

Proof. By Remark 5.1.4, there exists an integer $n \geq 0$ and a regular system of parameters $x, y, \ldots, z$ of R_n such that $v(x), v(y), \ldots, v(z)$ are rationally independent. It follows that the sequence $\{R_i\}_{i\geq n}$ is monomial with respect to $x, y, \ldots, z$. □

In Setting 5.3, we extend the notation of Setting 4.2 to an infinite sequence.

Setting 5.3. Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ and $x, y, \ldots, z$ be as in Setting 4.2. We consider an infinite sequence of regular local rings

$$\{(R_i, \mathfrak{m}_i)\}_{i\geq 0} \equiv R =: R_0 \subset R_1 \subset R_2 \subset \cdots \subset R_i \subset \cdots, \tag{5}$$

where R_{i+1} is a monomial local quadratic transformation of R_i for each $i \geq 0$. As in Setting 4.2, let $\mathfrak{D}_x$(respectively, $\mathfrak{D}_y$, ..., $\mathfrak{D}_z$) denote the set of nonnegative integers i for which the transform from R_i to R_{i+1} is in the x-direction (respectively, the y-direction, ..., the z-direction).

Set $S := \bigcup_{i\geq 0} R_i$. It is straightforward to prove that S is a normal local domain with field of fractions $\mathcal{Q}(R)$ and maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}_S := \bigcup_{i\geq 0} \mathfrak{m}_i$. Our assumption that the local quadratic transforms are monomial implies that there is no residue field extension, that is, $\bigcup_{i\geq 0}(R_i/\mathfrak{m}_i) = R/\mathfrak{m}$. Moreover, if S is not a valuation domain,

it is known that there exist infinitely many valuation domains V that birationally dominate S and have positive dimension over S, that is, the residue field of V has positive transcendence degree over the field $S/\mathfrak{m}_S$; see [A, Lemma 7] or [SH, Exercise 6.24].

Theorem 5.4. *Let notation be as in Setting 5.3, and let V be a valuation domain that birationally dominates S. The following are equivalent:*

(1) *The sets $\mathfrak{D}_x,\ \mathfrak{D}_y,\ \ldots,\ \mathfrak{D}_z$ are all infinite.*
(2) *$\{(R_n, \mathfrak{m}_n)\}_{n\geq 0}$ switches strongly infinitely often.*
(3) *$S = V$ and V has rank 1.*

Proof. (2) $\Rightarrow$ (1) : Assume that item 1 fails. Then at least one of the sets $\mathfrak{D}_x,\ \mathfrak{D}_y,\ \ldots,\ \mathfrak{D}_z$ is finite. By relabeling, we may assume that $\mathfrak{D}_x$ is finite. Then there exists a positive integer j such that for $n \geq j$, the transform from R_n to R_{n+1} is not in the x-direction. Let $\mathfrak{m}_j := (x_j, y_j, \ldots, z_j)R_j$. Then $\mathfrak{p}_j := (x_j)R_j$ has the property that $\bigcup_{n\geq 0} R_n \subseteq \left(R_j\right)_{\mathfrak{p}_j}$. Thus $\{(R_n, \mathfrak{m}_n)\}_{n\geq 0}$ does not switch strongly infinitely often.

(1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) : Assume that item 1 holds. To prove that $\{(R_n, \mathfrak{m}_n)\}_{n\geq 0}$ switches strongly infinitely often it suffices to show for each integer $j \geq 0$ and nonzero element $f \in R_j$ that there exists an integer $n \geq j$ such that the transform $(fR_j)^{R_n} = R_n$, cf. Remark 3.2. Let $r := \mathrm{ord}_{R_j}(f)$. To prove that the transform $(fR_j)^{R_n} = R_n$ for some n, it suffices to prove that the order of the transform $(fR_j)^{R_s}$ is less than r for some integer $s > j$, and this is immediate from Theorem 4.3. (2) $\Rightarrow$ (3) : This is clear by Remark 3.3. (3) $\Rightarrow$ (2) : This is shown by Granja [Gr, Theorem 13]. $\square$

Lemma 5.5. *Let notation be as in Setting 5.3, and let p and q be distinct monomials in R. If the sets $\mathfrak{D}_x,\ \mathfrak{D}_y,\ \ldots,\ \mathfrak{D}_z$ are all infinite, then there exists an integer t such that either $p/q \in \mathfrak{m}_t$ or $q/p \in \mathfrak{m}_t$.*

Proof. Let $I := (p, q)R$. We prove Lemma 5.5 by induction on $r := \mathrm{ord}_R(I)$. The case where $r = 0$ is clear. Assume the assertion of Lemma 5.5 holds for all nonnegative integers less than r.

We may assume $\mathrm{ord}_R(p) \leq \mathrm{ord}_R(q)$. Then $r = \mathrm{ord}_R(p)$. Assume notation as in Remark 4.4. Thus the transform from R to R_1 is in the w-direction. If $\eta_1 > 0$, then as shown in Equation 3, we have $\mathrm{ord}_{R_1}(p_1) < r$. Hence by our inductive hypothesis applied to the ideal I_1 in R_1, we have, for some positive integer t, either $\frac{p}{q} = \frac{p_1}{q_1} \in \mathfrak{m}_t$ or $\frac{q}{p} = \frac{q_1}{p_1} \in \mathfrak{m}_t$.

If $\eta_1 = 0$, then $\operatorname{ord}_{R_1}(p_1) = \operatorname{ord}_R(p) = r$. If $\operatorname{ord}_{R_1}(q_1) < r$, then again by induction applied to the ideal I_1 in R_1, we have either $\frac{p}{q} = \frac{p_1}{q_1} \in \mathfrak{m}_t$ or $\frac{q}{p} = \frac{q_1}{p_1} \in \mathfrak{m}_t$ for some positive integer t.

If $\eta_1 = 0$ and $\operatorname{ord}_{R_1}(q_1) \geq r$, then the ideal $I_1 = (p_1, q_1)R_1$ satisfies the same hypothesis as the ideal $I = (p, q)R$. Let $I_2 = (p_2, q_2)R_2$ denote the transform of I_1 in R_2, where $\frac{p_2}{q_2} = \frac{p}{q}$. If $\operatorname{ord}_{R_2}(I_2) < r$, then for some positive integer t, either $\frac{p}{q} = \frac{p_2}{q_2} \in \mathfrak{m}_t$ or $\frac{q}{p} = \frac{q_2}{p_2} \in \mathfrak{m}_t$. If $\operatorname{ord}_{R_2}(I_2) = r$, we define $I_3 = (p_3, q_3)R_3$ and continue. Let $I_i = (p_i, q_i)R_i$ denote the transform of I in R_i, where $\frac{p_i}{q_i} = \frac{p}{q}$, for each $i \geq 1$.

If $\operatorname{ord}_{R_i}(I_i) = r$ for all i, then the transform from R_{i-1} to R_i is never in a direction where the associated exponent of p is positive. This is impossible since the sets $\mathfrak{D}_x,\ \mathfrak{D}_y,\ \ldots,\ \mathfrak{D}_z$ are all infinite and the monomial p contains at least one positive exponent. We conclude by induction that there exists an integer t such that either $p/q \in \mathfrak{m}_t$ or $q/p \in \mathfrak{m}_t$. □

Corollary 5.6. *Assume notation as in Theorem 5.4. The valuation domain V has rational rank $d = \dim R$, and V is a zero-dimension over R. If v is a valuation associated to V, then $v(x), v(y), \ldots, v(z)$ are rationally independent. In particular, v is a monomial valuation*

Proof. Assume that

$$a_1 v(x) + b_1 v(y) + \cdots + c_1 v(z) = a_2 v(x) + b_2 v(y) + \cdots + c_2 v(z),$$

with $(a_1, b_1, \ldots, c_1)$ and $(a_2, b_2, \ldots, c_2)$ being d-tuples of nonnegative integers. Then we have

$$v(x^{a_1} y^{b_1} \cdots z^{c_1}) = v(x^{a_2} y^{b_2} \cdots z^{c_2}),$$

and hence $x^{a_1} y^{b_1} \cdots z^{c_1} = x^{a_2} y^{b_2} \cdots z^{c_2}$ by Lemma 5.5. Thus we have

$$a_1 = a_2,\ b_1 = b_2,\ \ldots,\ c_1 = c_2.$$

By [SH, Theorem 6.6.7], we have

$$\text{rat.rank}\, v + \text{tr.deg}_{R/\mathfrak{m}}\, k(v) \leq \dim(R).$$

Since $d \leq \text{rat.rank}\, v$, we have $\text{rat.rank}\, v = d$. It follows that $\text{tr.deg}_{R/\mathfrak{m}}\, k(v) = 0$. □

6. Infinite Directed Unions of Local Quadratic Transforms

Related to Item 2 of Remark 5.1, Example 6.1 demonstrates the existence of a nondiscrete rational rank 1 valuation domain V that birationally dominates and is zero-dimensional over a 3-dimensional regular local ring R and is such that the sequence $\{(R_n, \mathfrak{m}_n)\}_{n\geq 0}$ of local quadratic transforms of R along V as in Equation 4 fails to switch strongly infinitely infinitely often, that is, we may have $\bigcup_{n\geq 0} R_n \subsetneq V$.

Example 6.1. Let x, y be indeterminates over a field k, and let $A_0 = k[[x, y]]$ be the formal power series ring in x and y over k. We describe a sequence $\{(A_n, \mathfrak{n}_n)\}_{n\geq 0}$ of local quadratic transforms of A_0, such that $\mathfrak{n}_n = (x_n, y_n)A_n$ is the maximal ideal of A_n, where for each even integer $n \geq 0$, we set $A_{n+1} = A_n[\frac{y_n}{x_n}]_{(x_n, \frac{y_n}{x_n}-1)}$, and for each odd integer $n \geq 1$, we set $A_{n+1} = A_n[\frac{x_n}{y_n}]_{(y_n, \frac{x_n}{y_n})}$. Thus for each integer $k \geq 0$, we have

$$x_{2k+1} = x_{2k}, \quad y_{2k+1} = \frac{y_{2k} - x_{2k}}{x_{2k}}, \quad x_{2k+2} = \frac{x_{2k+1}}{y_{2k+1}}, \quad \text{and} \quad y_{2k+2} = y_{2k+1}.$$

Notice that A_{n+1} is the localization of $A_n[x_{n+1}, y_{n+1}]$ at the maximal ideal generated by x_{n+1}, y_{n+1}.

Let $W = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n$. By Remark 3.1, W is a valuation domain that birationally dominates A_0 and has residue field k. Let w denote the valuation associated to W such that $w(x) = 1$. The fact that W dominates every A_n implies that W is rational rank 1 nondiscrete and that $w(x_{2k}) = w(x_{2k+1}) = w(y_{2k}) = w(y_{2k-1}) = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^k$, for all $k \geq 0$. Since for $k \geq 0$, $w(\mathfrak{n}_{2k}) = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^k$ and $w(\mathfrak{n}_{2k+1}) = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{k+1}$, it follows that

$$\sum_{k\geq 0} w(\mathfrak{n}_k) = \sum_{k\geq 0} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^k + \sum_{k\geq 0} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{k+1} = \frac{1}{1-\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{\frac{1}{2}}{1-\frac{1}{2}} = 3.$$

Let $p(x) \in k[[x]]$ be such that x and $p(x)$ are algebraically independent over k and let $z = x^4 p(x)$. Let $R = k[x, y, z]_{(x,y,z)}$, $V = W \cap k(x, y, z)$, and $v = w|_{R(x,y,z)}$. It follows that V is a rational rank 1 nondiscrete valuation domain that birationally dominates R and has residue field k. Let $\{(R_n, \mathfrak{m}_n)\}_{n\geq 0}$ be the sequence of local quadratic transforms of R along V. Since $v(z) \geq 4$, we have $\mathfrak{m}_n = (x_n, y_n, z_n)$, where x_n, y_n are the generators of $\mathfrak{n}_n$ as defined above and z_n is defined inductively by $z_{n+1} = \frac{z_n}{x_n}$ for n even and $z_{n+1} = \frac{z_n}{y_n}$ for n odd. Since we never divide in the z-direction, $\bigcup_{n\geq 0} R_n \subset R_{(zR)}$, and thus $\bigcup_{n\geq 0} R_n \subsetneq V$.

By stringing together infinitely many finite sequences of monomial local quadratic transforms as in Theorem 4.3 and interspersing between each two a local quadratic

transform that is not monomial, we obtain examples of infinite sequences $\{R_i\}_{i\geq 0}$ that switch strongly infinitely often and have the property that $V = \bigcup_{i\geq 0} R_i$ has rational rank less than $d = \dim R$. We give explicit examples with $d = 3$ in Example 6.3. We use the following remark.

Remark 6.2. Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian local domain, and let V be a valuation domain dominating R. Let v be a valuation associated to V. Assume that $\dim_{R/\mathfrak{m}} \mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2 = d$, and that there exist elements $x_1, \ldots, x_d$ in $\mathfrak{m}$ such that $v(x_1) < v(x_2) < \cdots < v(x_d) < v(\mathfrak{m}^2)$. Then for each $y \in \mathfrak{m} \setminus \mathfrak{m}^2$, we have $v(y) \in \{v(x_1), \ldots, v(x_d)\}$.

To see this, let $R = I_0 \supsetneq I_1 \supsetneq I_2 \supsetneq \ldots$ be the sequence of valuation ideals of V in R, where $I_{n+1} = \{a \in R \mid v(a) > v(I_n)\}$. For $1 \leq i \leq d$, define $J_i = \{a \in R \mid v(a) \geq v(x_i)\}$. Define $J_0 = R$ and $J_{d+1} = \{a \in R \mid v(a) \geq v(\mathfrak{m}^2)\}$. The assumption that $v(x_d) < v(\mathfrak{m}^2)$ implies that $J_{d+1} \subsetneq J_d$. Thus we have the chain of inclusions of ideals,

$$R = J_0 \supsetneq J_1 \supsetneq \ldots \supsetneq J_d \supsetneq J_{d+1} \supset \mathfrak{m}^2 .$$

Since $\lambda_R(R/\mathfrak{m}^2) = d + 1$, it follows that for $0 \leq i \leq d$, J_i/J_{i+1} is a simple R-module, and it follows that $J_{d+1} = \mathfrak{m}^2$. Thus for $0 \leq i \leq d+1$, $I_i = J_i$, and for each $y \in \mathfrak{m} \setminus \mathfrak{m}^2$, $v(y) \in \{v(I_1), \ldots, v(I_d)\} = \{v(x_1), \ldots, v(x_d)\}$.

Example 6.3. Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a 3-dimensional regular local ring, and let $\mathfrak{m} = (x, y, z)R$. Define:

$$R_1 = R[\frac{\mathfrak{m}}{x}]_{(x, \frac{y}{x}, \frac{z}{x})} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{m}_1 = (x_1, y_1, z_1)R_1 = (x, \frac{y}{x}, \frac{z}{x})R_1$$

$$R_2 = R_1[\frac{\mathfrak{m}_1}{y_1}]_{(\frac{x_1}{y_1}, y_1, \frac{z_1}{y_1})} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{m}_2 = (x_2, y_2, z_2)R_2 = (\frac{x^2}{y}, \frac{y}{x}, \frac{z}{y})R_2$$

$$R_3 = R_2[\frac{\mathfrak{m}_2}{z_2}]_{(\frac{x_2}{z_2}, \frac{y_2}{z_2}, z_2)} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{m}_3 = (x_3, y_3, z_3)R_3 = (\frac{x^2}{z}, \frac{y^2}{xz}, \frac{z}{y})R_3$$

$$R_4 = R_3[\frac{\mathfrak{m}_3}{x_3}]_{(x_3, \frac{y_3}{x_3} - 1, \frac{z_3}{x_3} - 1)} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{m}_4 = (x_4, y_4, z_4)R_4 = (\frac{x^2}{z}, \frac{y^2}{x^3} - 1, \frac{z^2}{x^2 y} - 1)R_4$$

For each valuation domain V birationally dominating R_4, we have $v(y) = \frac{3}{2}v(x)$ and $v(z) = \frac{7}{4}v(x)$.

Starting from $(R_4, \mathfrak{m}_4)$ and $\mathfrak{m}_4 = (x_4, y_4, z_4)R_4$, we make a sequence $R_4 \subset R_5 \subset R_6 \subset R_7$ of monomial local quadratic transforms with respect to the fixed basis x_4, y_4, z_4 of $\mathfrak{m}_4$ such that R_4 to R_5 is in the x-direction, R_5 to R_6 is in the y-direction, and R_6 to R_7 is in the z-direction. With $(x_7, y_7, z_7)R_7$ the monomial

regular system of parameters for $\mathfrak{m}_7$, we define the local quadratic transform R_7 to R_8 in a manner similar to that from R_3 to R_4. Thus $x_8 := x_7$, $y_8 := \frac{y_7}{x_8} - 1$, and $z_8 := \frac{z_7}{x_7} - 1$. If V is a valuation birationally dominating R_8, then $v(y_4) = \frac{3}{2}v(x_4)$ and $v(z_4) = \frac{7}{4}v(x_4)$.

In a similar manner, for each positive integer n, we inductively define the sequence of local quadratic transforms $R_{4n} \subset R_{4n+1} \subset R_{4n+2} \subset R_{4n+3} \subset R_{4n+4}$. For each valuation domain V that birationally dominating R_{4n+4}, we have $v(y_{4n}) = \frac{3}{2}v(x_{4n})$ and $v(z_{4n}) = \frac{7}{4}v(x_{4n})$.

Theorem 4.3 implies that the sequence $\{R_i\}_{i\geq 0}$ switches strongly infinitely often. Hence $V = \bigcup_{i\geq 0} R_i$ is a rank one valuation domain by Remark 3.3 and V is a zero-dimension over R. The rational rank of V is 1, for if the rational rank of V were greater than 1, then by Remark 5.1.4, there exists an integer $n > 0$ and elements $w_1, w_2 \in R_{4n}$ such that $\mathrm{ord}_{R_{4n}}(w_1) = \mathrm{ord}_{R_{4n}}(w_2) = 1$ and $v(w_1), v(w_2)$ are rationally independent. Since $v(x_{4n}) < v(y_{4n}) < v(z_{4n}) < v(\mathfrak{m}_{4n}^2)$ and $v(x_{4n}), v(y_{4n}), v(z_{4n})$ are rationally dependent, Remark 6.2 implies that $v(w_1)$ and $v(w_2)$ are in $\{v(x_{4n}), v(y_{4n}), v(z_{4n})\}$ and hence are rationally dependent.

Theorem 3.5 implies the following result for an infinite sequence of monomial local quadratic transforms.

Construction 6.4. Let notation be as in Theorem 5.4 and assume that the sets $\mathfrak{D}_x$, $\mathfrak{D}_y$, $\ldots$, $\mathfrak{D}_z$ are all infinite. Then:

(1) There exists an infinite decreasing chain $\{I_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ of v-ideals in R such that each I_n is a monomial ideal and $\lambda_R(I_n/I_{n+1}) = 1$ for each $n \geq 0$.
(2) We have $\bigcap_{n\geq 1} I_n = (0)$.
(3) If p is a monomial in R, then $pV \cap R = I_n$ for some $n \geq 0$.
(4) $V = \bigcup_{n\geq 0} R_n$ is a rank one valuation domain.

Proof. Since the sequence $\{R_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ is monomial, we have $R/\mathfrak{m} = R_n/\mathfrak{m}_n$ for all $n \geq 0$. Since the sets $\mathfrak{D}_x$, $\mathfrak{D}_y$, $\ldots$, $\mathfrak{D}_z$ are all infinite, the sequence $\{R_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ switches infinitely often by Theorem 5.4. Remark 3.3 implies that $V = \bigcup_{n\geq 0} R_n$ is a rank one valuation domain. Theorem 3.5 implies items 1, 2 and 3. □

7. Properties of infinite sequences of local quadratic transforms

Setting 7.1. Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a d-dimensional regular local ring with $d \geq 2$ and let V be a zero-dimensional real valuation domain birationally dominating R with

a corresponding valuation v. Consider the infinite sequence $\{(R_i, \mathfrak{m}_i)\}_{i\geq 0}$ of local quadratic transforms of R along V,

$$R \;=\; R_0 \subset R_1 \subset \cdots \subset R_n \subset \cdots \subset \; \cup_{n\geq 0} R_n \;\subset\; V.$$

Let s denote the infinite sum,

$$s = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} v(\mathfrak{m}_i).$$

Thus s is either ∞ or a positive real number.

In Theorem 7.2, we describe the value of s in the monomial case.

Theorem 7.2. *Assume the notation of Setting 7.1 and that $\mathfrak{m} = (x, y, \ldots, z)R$, where $v(x), v(y), \ldots, v(z)$ are rationally independent. Then,*

(1) $s \;=\; \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} v(\mathfrak{m}_i) \;\leq\; \frac{v(x)+v(y)+\cdots+v(z)}{(d-1)}$. *In particular, s is finite.*

(2) *The following are equivalent:*

   (a) *Equality holds in Item 1.*

   (b) *The sequence $\{(R_n, \mathfrak{m}_n)\}_{n\geq 0}$ switches strongly infinitely often.*

   (c) $\lim_{n\to\infty} v(w_n) = 0$, *for each variable $w \in \Delta(\mathfrak{m}_0)$.*

Proof. For item 1, we prove by induction that the following equation holds for every integer $n \geq 0$.

$$\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} v(\mathfrak{m}_i)\right) + \frac{v(x_n) + v(y_n) + \cdots + v(z_n)}{d-1} = \frac{v(x) + v(y) + \cdots + v(z)}{d-1}. \tag{6}$$

Equality is clear in the case where $n = 0$. Assume that the claim is true for n. By re-arranging variables, we may assume that $v(x_n) = v(\mathfrak{m}_n)$, so that the local quadratic transform from R_n to R_{n+1} is in the x-direction. Hence for every variable w that is not x, $w_{n+1} := w_n/x_n$, so $v(w_{n+1}) = v(w_n) - v(x_n)$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n} v(\mathfrak{m}_i)\right) + \frac{v(x_{n+1}) + v(y_{n+1}) + \cdots + v(z_{n+1})}{d-1} \\
=&\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} v(\mathfrak{m}_i)\right) + v(x_n) + \frac{(v(x_n) + v(y_n) + \cdots + v(z_n)) - (d-1)v(x_n)}{d-1} \\
=&\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} v(\mathfrak{m}_i)\right) + \frac{v(x_n) + v(y_n) + \cdots + v(z_n)}{d-1} \\
=&\frac{v(x) + v(y) + \cdots + v(z)}{d-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

By taking limits, we conclude that $s = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} v(\mathfrak{m}_i) \leq \frac{v(x)+v(y)+\cdots+v(z)}{(d-1)}$.

For item 2, we let $E_n := \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} v(\mathfrak{m}_i)$. We first show $(a) \Rightarrow (c)$: By assumption, we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} E_n = \frac{v(x)+v(y)+\cdots+v(z)}{d-1} \quad \text{thus} \quad \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{v(x_n)+v(y_n)+\cdots+v(z_n)}{d-1} = 0.$$

For each $w \in \Delta(\mathfrak{m}_0)$, the sequence $\{v(w_n)\}_{n\geq 0}$ is a nonincreasing sequence of positive real numbers. Hence each $\lim_{n\to\infty} v(w_n)$ exists and is a nonnegative real number. Since $d \geq 2$, we have $\lim_{n\to\infty} \{v(x_n)+v(y_n)+\cdots+v(z_n)\} = 0$, and thus we have $\lim_{n\to\infty} v(w_n) = 0$, for each variable $w \in \Delta(\mathfrak{m}_0)$.

$(c) \Rightarrow (a)$: . Assume that $\lim_{n\to\infty} v(w_n) = 0$, for each variable $w \in \Delta(\mathfrak{m}_0)$. That is, we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} v(x_n) \;=\; 0,\; \lim_{n\to\infty} v(y_n) \;=\; 0,\; \cdots,\; \lim_{n\to\infty} v(z_n) \;=\; 0.$$

By Equation 6 we have

$$E_n \;=\; \frac{v(x)+v(y)+\cdots+v(z)}{d-1} \;-\; \frac{v(x_n)+v(y_n)+\cdots+v(z_n)}{d-1}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{n\to\infty} E_n &= \lim_{n\to\infty}\left\{\frac{v(x)+v(y)+\cdots+v(z)}{d-1}\right\} \;-\; \lim_{n\to\infty}\left\{\frac{v(x_n)+v(y_n)+\cdots+v(z_n)}{d-1}\right\} \\ &= \frac{v(x)+v(y)+\cdots+v(z)}{d-1}.\end{aligned}$$

The last equality follows by assumption.

$(c) \Rightarrow (b)$: Assume that $\lim_{n\to\infty} v(w_n) = 0$, for each variable $w \in \Delta(\mathfrak{m}_0)$. Suppose by way of contradiction that the sequence $\{(R_n, \mathfrak{m}_n)\}_{n\geq 0}$ does not switch strongly infinitely often. By Theorem 5.4, there exists a variable $w \in \Delta(\mathfrak{m}_0)$ such that $\mathfrak{D}_w$ is finite. That is, there exists positive integer n_0 such that the sequence $R_{n_0} \subset R_{n_0+1} \subset R_{n_0+2} \subset \cdots$ never goes in the w-direction. By replacing n_0 by zero, we may assume that the sequence $\{(R_n, \mathfrak{m}_n)\}_{n\geq 0}$ never goes in the w-direction. That is,

$$v(w_n) \neq \min\{v(x_n), v(y_n), \ldots, v(w_n), \ldots, v(z_n)\} \quad \text{for every integer } n \geq 0.$$

From Equation 6, we have

$$v(w) = v(w_n) + E_n \quad \text{and}$$

$$v(x)+v(y)+\cdots+\widehat{v(w)}+\cdots+v(z) = v(x_n)+v(y_n)+\cdots+\widehat{v(w_n)}+\cdots+v(z_n)+(d-2)E_n.$$

By assumption, we have

$$v(w) = \lim_{n\to\infty} E_n \quad \text{and} \quad v(x)+v(y)+\cdots+\widehat{v(w)}+\cdots+v(z) = (d-2)\lim_{n\to\infty} E_n.$$

Using both equalties, we have $v(x)+v(y)+\cdots+\widehat{v(w)}+\cdots+v(z) = (d-2)v(w)$, which is a contradiction, since $v(x), v(y), \ldots, v(z)$ are rationally independent.

$(b) \Rightarrow (c)$: By item (1), we have $s := \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} v(\mathfrak{m}_i)$ is finite. Hence $\lim_{n\to\infty} v(\mathfrak{m}_n) = \lim_{n\to\infty} E_{n+1} - \lim_{n\to\infty} E_n = 0$. Fix $w \in \Delta(\mathfrak{m}_0)$, we have $v(w_n) = v(\mathfrak{m}_n)$ for every n such that the transform from R_n to R_{n+1} is in the w-direction. By assumption, $\mathfrak{D}_w = \infty$, and hence $\lim_{n\to\infty} v(w_n) = \lim_{n\to\infty} v(\mathfrak{m}_n) = 0$ □

Proposition 7.3. *Assume the notation of Setting 7.1. Then we have the following:*

(1) *If V is a DVR, then $s = \infty$, and $\cup_{n\geq 0} R_n = V$.*
(2) *If $s = \infty$, then the sequence $\{R_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ switches strongly infinitely often.*
(3) *If V has rational rank $r \geq 2$, then $s < \infty$. Thus if $s = \infty$, then V has rational rank $r = 1$.*
(4) *If V has rational rank 1 and V is not a DVR, then both $s = \infty$ and $s < \infty$ are possible.*

Proof. If V is discrete, we may assume the value group of V is $\mathbb{Z}$, and hence $v(\mathfrak{m}_n) \geq 1$ for all $n \geq 0$. Thus item 1 is clear.

Item 2 is proved by Granja, Martinez and Rodriguez in [GMR, Proposition 23].

To see Item 3, assume that V has rational rank $r \geq 2$ and suppose by way of contradiction that $s = \infty$. By Item 2, the sequence switches strongly infinitely often. By Remark 5.1.4, there is some $n > 0$ and elements x, y that are part of some regular system of parameters for R_n such that $v(x), v(y)$ are rationally independent. By replacing R by R_n, we may assume that there are elements x, y in some regular system of parameters for R such that $v(x), v(y)$ are rationally independent.

We show that $s \leq v(x) + v(y)$ by inductively proving that for all $n \geq 0$, there are elements x_n, y_n of some regular system of parameters for R_n such that $v(x_n), v(y_n)$ are rationally independent and $\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} v(\mathfrak{m}_i)\right) + v(x_n) + v(y_n) \leq v(x) + v(y)$.

Taking $x_0 = x$ and $y_0 = y$, the base case $n = 0$ is clear.

Assume the claim is true for n. Thus we have elements $x_n, y_n \in \mathfrak{m}_n$ such that $v(x_n), v(y_n)$ are rationally independent and

$$\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} v(\mathfrak{m}_i)\right) + v(x_n) + v(y_n) \ \leq \ v(x) + v(y). \tag{7}$$

Let $z \in m_n$ denote an element of minimal v-value. Then $v(z)$ and at least one of $v(x_n), v(y_n)$ are rationally independent, so we may assume without loss of generality that $v(z), v(y_n)$ are rationally independent. Thus z, y_n are part of a regular system of parameters for R_n. Set $x_{n+1} = z$ and $y_{n+1} = \frac{y_n}{z}$, so $v(x_{n+1}), v(y_{n+1})$ are rationally

independent, and $v(y_{n+1}) = v(y_n) - v(z)$. We have $v(\mathfrak{m}_n) = v(z) \leq v(x_n)$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n} v(\mathfrak{m}_i)\right) + v(x_{n+1}) + v(y_{n+1}) &= \left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} v(\mathfrak{m}_i)\right) + v(z) + v(z) + v(y_n) - v(z)\\ &\leq \left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} v(\mathfrak{m}_i)\right) + v(x_n) + v(y_n)\\ &\leq v(x) + v(y)\end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from Equation 7.

We conclude that $s < \infty$, in contradiction to the assumption that $s = \infty$. This proves Item 3.

Example 6.3 shows that $s < \infty$ is possible in the case where the rational rank of V is 1 and V is not a DVR. The pattern gives $s = (1+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{4}+\frac{1}{4})+(\frac{1}{4}+\frac{1}{8}+\frac{1}{16}+\frac{1}{16})+\ldots$, so $s = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} + 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{4^n} = \frac{8}{3}$. To complete the proof of item 4, we show in Examples 7.11 and 7.12 that $s = \infty$ is possible in the case where the rational rank of $T = \bigcup_{n\geq 0} A_n$ is 1 and T is not a DVR. □

Setting 7.4. Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a d-dimensional regular local ring with $d \geq 2$, and with $R := R_0$, let $\{(R_n, \mathfrak{m}_n)\}_{n\geq 0}$ be an infinite sequence of local quadratic transforms with $\dim R_n = \dim R$ for all n. Let $y_n \in \Delta(\mathfrak{m}_n)$ be such that the transform from R_n to R_{n+1} is in the y_n-direction. Let $S := \bigcup_{n\geq 0} R_n$. It is well known that S is a normal local domain with unique maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}_S := \bigcup_{n\geq 0} \mathfrak{m}_n$. Assume that for some nonnegative integer j there exists a regular prime element x in R_j such that $S \subset (R_j)_{xR_j}$. In considering properties of the directed union, we may assume the sequence starts at R_j. Thus with a change of notation, we assume that $j = 0$. Let $P := xR_{xR} \cap S$ and let $T := S/P$ and $\mathfrak{m}_T := \mathfrak{m}_S/P$. For each $n \geq 0$, let x_n be the transform of x in R_n. Then $P = \bigcup_{n\geq 0} x_n R_n$. For each $n \geq 0$, let

$$A_n := \frac{R_n}{x_n R_n} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{n}_n := \frac{\mathfrak{m}_n}{x_n R_n}.$$

Each $(A_n, \mathfrak{n}_n)$ is a $(d-1)$-dimensional regular local ring. Moreover $\{(A_n, \mathfrak{n}_n)\}_{n\geq 0}$ is an infinite sequence of local quadratic transforms. Hence $T = \bigcup_{n\geq 0} A_n$ is a normal local domain with maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}_T = \bigcup_{n\geq 0} \mathfrak{n}_n$. Let ν_x be the x-adic valuation associated with the valuation domain R_{xR}. For an element $g \in S$, let $\overline{g}$ denote the image of g in T.

Theorem 7.5. *Let notation be as in Setting 7.4. The following are equivalent.*

(1) *The sequence $\{(R_n, \mathfrak{m}_n)\}_{n\geq 0}$ is height one directed.*

(2) *S is a valuation domain.*

(3) *T is a rank one valuation domain with associated real valuation ν such that $\sum_{n\geq 0}\nu(\mathfrak{n}_n)=\infty$.*

(4) *The sequence $\{(A_n,\mathfrak{n}_n)\}_{n\geq 0}$ switches strongly infinitely often, and for the associated real valuation ν we have $\sum_{n\geq 0}\nu(\mathfrak{n}_n)=\infty$.*

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2): This is proved by Granja in [Gr, Theorem 13].

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1): By [Gr, Proposition 7], the valuation domain S has rank either 1 or 2. By assumption, the sequence $\{(R_n,\mathfrak{m}_n)\}_{n\geq 0}$ does not switch strongly infinitely often. Hence by [Gr, Theorem 13], the sequence $\{(R_n,\mathfrak{m}_n)\}_{n\geq 0}$ is height one directed and S has rank 2.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (3): Assume that S is a valuation domain. Then $T=S/P$ is a valuation domain of the field R_{xR}/xR_{xR}. Since P is a nonzero nonmaximal prime ideal in S and $\dim S=2$, we have $\dim T=1$. It remains to show that $s:=\sum_{n\geq 0}\nu(\mathfrak{n}_n)=\infty$. Suppose by way of contradiction that $s<\infty$. Let $z\in\Delta(\mathfrak{m}_0)$ be such that $\nu_x(z)=0$, (that is $zR\neq xR$). By the Archimedean property, there exists an integer $N>0$ such that $N\nu(\overline{z})>s$. Consider the following element

$$f:=x-z^N.$$

For simplicity of notation, we set $g:=z^N$. Then $\nu(\overline{g})=\nu(\overline{z^N})>s$. For each $n\geq 0$, let f_n be the transform f in R_n. Let ν_f be the f-adic valuation associated with the valuation domain R_{fR}. To see $S\subset R_{fR}$, we prove by induction on n the following claim;

Claim 7.6. For every integer $n\geq 0$, there exists $g_n\in R_n$ such that

$$f_n=x_n-g_n,\quad \text{where}\ \ \nu_f(f_n)=1,\quad \nu_f(g_n)=0\ \ \text{and}\ \ \nu(\overline{g_n})>\sum_{i=n}^{\infty}\nu(\mathfrak{n}_i).$$

Proof. The case where $n=0$ is clear by construction. Assume that the claim holds for n. Since the transform from R_n to R_{n+1} is in the y_n-direction, we have

$$f_{n+1}=\frac{f_n}{y_n}=\frac{x_n}{y_n}-\frac{g_n}{y_n}=x_{n+1}-\frac{g_n}{y_n}.$$

We set $g_{n+1}:=\frac{g_n}{y_n}$. Then we have

$$\nu_f(g_{n+1})=\nu_f(g_n)-\nu_f(y_n)=0\quad\text{and}$$

$$\nu(\overline{g_{n+1}})=\nu\Big(\overline{\frac{g_n}{y_n}}\Big)=\nu(\overline{g_n})-\nu(\overline{y_n})>\sum_{i=n}^{\infty}\nu(\mathfrak{n}_i)-\nu(\mathfrak{n}_n)=\sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty}\nu(\mathfrak{n}_i).$$

□

By Claim 7.6, we have $R_n \subset R_{fR}$ for each positive integer n. Hence $S \subset R_{fR}$. Since $R_{xR} \neq R_{fR}$, this contradicts the fact that the sequence $\{(R_n, \mathfrak{m}_n)\}_{n\geq 0}$ is height one directed.

(3) $\Rightarrow$ (2): Assume that T is a rank one valuation domain with associated real valuation ν such that $\sum_{n\geq 0} \nu(\mathfrak{n}_n) = \infty$. Let W be the composite valuation domain defined by the valuations ν and ν_x. Thus

$$W \;=\; \{\alpha \in \mathcal{Q}(R) \mid \nu_x(\alpha) > 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \nu_x(\alpha) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \nu(\widetilde{\alpha}) \geq 0\},$$

where $\widetilde{\alpha}$ denotes the image of α in R_{xR}/xR_{xR}. We have $S \subset W \subset R_{xR}$. We prove the following claim :

Claim 7.7. $W \subset S$.

Proof. Let $\alpha \in W$. Then $\alpha = x^t \frac{g}{h}$,where $g, h \in R \setminus xR$ are relatively prime in R and $t = \nu_x(\alpha) \geq 0$. We consider two cases
(Case i): Assume that $t = \nu_x(\alpha) = 0$. Since $\alpha \in W$, we have $\nu(\widetilde{\alpha}) \geq 0$. Let

$$r_0 \;:=\; \min\{\ \mathrm{ord}_{R_0}(g),\ \mathrm{ord}_{R_0}(h)\ \}.$$

If $r_0 = 0$, then at least one of g or h is a unit in R. If h is a unit in R then $\alpha \in R \subset S$. If g is a unit in R, then $\nu(\widetilde{g}) = 0$. Since $\nu(\widetilde{\alpha}) = \nu(\widetilde{g}) - \nu(\widetilde{h}) \geq 0$, we must also have $\nu(\widetilde{h}) = 0$, and $\alpha \in R$. Assume that $r_0 > 0$ and set $g_0 := g$ and $h_0 := h$. Since the transform from $R = R_0$ to R_1 is in the $y = y_0$-direction there exist elements g_1 and h_1 in R_1 such that $g = y^{r_0} g_1$ and $h = y^{r_0} h_1$. Then $\alpha = \frac{g_1}{h_1}$. Notice that the ideal $(g_1, h_1)R_1$ is the transform in R_1 of the ideal $(g, h)R$.

Let $r_1 := \min\{\ \mathrm{ord}_{R_1}(g_1),\ \mathrm{ord}_{R_1}(h_1)\ \}$. If $r_1 = 0$, then $\alpha \in R_1$. If $r_1 > 0$, then since the transform from R_1 to R_2 is in the y_1-direction there exist elements g_2 and h_2 in R_2 such that $g_1 = y_1^{r_1} g_2$ and $h_1 = y_1^{r_1} h_2$. Then $\alpha = \frac{g_1}{h_1} = \frac{g_2}{h_2}$. The ideal $(g_2, h_2)R_2$ is the transform in R_2 of the ideal $(g_1, h_1)R_1$ and also the transform of the ideal $(g, h)R$.

Suppose the transform of $(g, h)R$ in R_n is a proper ideal in R_n for every integer $n \geq 0$. Then $r_n := \min\{\ \mathrm{ord}_{R_n}(g_n),\ \mathrm{ord}_{R_n}(h_n)\ \}$ is positive for all $n \geq 0$. This gives infinite sequences $\{g_{n+1}\}_{n\geq 0}, \{h_{n+1}\}_{n\geq 0}$ such that $g_{n+1},\ h_{n+1} \in R_{n+1} \setminus x_{n+1}R_{n+1}$, where $g_n = y_n^{r_n} g_{n+1}$ and $h_n = y_n^{r_n} h_{n+1}$. Then for every integer $n \geq 0$ we have

$$\nu(\widetilde{g_{n+1}}) = \nu(\widetilde{g_n}) - r_n \nu(\widetilde{y_n}) = \nu(\widetilde{g_n}) - r_n \nu(\mathfrak{n}_n) \leq \nu(\widetilde{g_n}) - \nu(\mathfrak{n}_n),$$
$$\nu(\widetilde{h_{n+1}}) = \nu(\widetilde{h_n}) - r_n \nu(\widetilde{y_n}) = \nu(\widetilde{h_n}) - r_n \nu(\mathfrak{n}_n) \leq \nu(\widetilde{h_n}) - \nu(\mathfrak{n}_n).$$

Hence for every integer $n \geq 1$ we have

$$\nu(\widetilde{g_n}) \leq \nu(\widetilde{g_0}) - \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \nu(\mathfrak{n}_i) \quad \text{and} \quad \nu(\widetilde{h_n}) \leq \nu(\widetilde{h_0}) - \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \nu(\mathfrak{n}_i).$$

By taking limits, we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \nu(\widetilde{g_n}) \leq \nu(\widetilde{g_0}) - \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \nu(\mathfrak{n}_i) \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n\to\infty} \nu(\widetilde{h_n}) \leq \nu(\widetilde{h_0}) - \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \nu(\mathfrak{n}_i)$$

Since $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \nu(\mathfrak{n}_i) = \infty$, this is a contradiction. Hence $r_n = \min\{ \operatorname{ord}_{R_n}(g_n),\ \operatorname{ord}_{R_n}(h_n) \} = 0$ for some integer $n \geq 0$. Then either g_n is a unit in R_n or h_n is a unit in R_n. If h_n is a unit in R_n, then $\alpha \in R_n$, and hence $\alpha \in S$. If g_n is a unit in R_n, then $\nu(\widetilde{g_n}) = 0$. Since $\nu(\widetilde{\alpha}) = \nu(\widetilde{g_n}) - \nu(\widetilde{h_n}) \geq 0$, we must also have $\nu(\widetilde{h_n}) = 0$, and $\alpha \in R$.

(Case ii): Assume that $t = \nu_x(\alpha) > 0$. Let $\beta_0 := \frac{g}{h}$. If $\nu(\widetilde{\beta_0}) \geq 0$, then by Case i, $\beta_0 \in S$ and hence $x^t\beta_0 = \alpha \in S$. If $\nu(\widetilde{\beta_0}) < 0$, let $\beta_1 := y_0^t\beta_0$. Then

$$\alpha \ = \ x^t\beta_0 \ = \ x_1^t y^t \beta_0 \ = \ x_1^t\beta_1.$$

If $\nu(\widetilde{\beta_1}) \geq 0$, then by (Case i), $\beta_1 \in S$ and hence $x_1^t\beta_1 = \alpha \in S$. If $\nu(\widetilde{\beta_1}) < 0$, let $\beta_2 := y_1^t\beta_1$. Then

$$\alpha \ = \ x_1^t\beta_1 \ = \ x_2^t y_1^t \beta_1 \ = \ x_2^t\beta_2 \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_2 \ = \ y_1^t y_0^t \beta_0$$

For each positive integer n, we define $\beta_{n+1} = y_n^t\beta_n$. It follows that

$$\alpha \ = \ x_n^t\beta_n \ = \ x_{n+1}^t y_n^t \beta_n \ = \ x_{n+1}^t\beta_{n+1} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_{n+1} \ = \ (y_n y_{n-1} \cdots y_0)^t \beta_0.$$

Thus we have

$$\nu(\widetilde{\beta_{n+1}}) = \ t\Big(\sum_{i=0}^{n} \nu(\widetilde{y_i})\Big) + \nu(\widetilde{\beta_0}) \ = \ t\Big(\sum_{i=0}^{n} \nu(\mathfrak{n}_i)\Big) + \nu(\widetilde{\beta_0}).$$

Since $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \nu(n_i) = \infty$, we have $\nu(\widetilde{\beta_n}) \geq 0$ for all sufficiently large integers n. Then by Case i, we have $\beta_n \in S$ and hence $x_n^t\beta_n = \alpha \in S$. This completes the proof of Claim 7.7. □

Hence by Claim 7.7 we have $S = W$, and we conclude that S is a valuation domain.

(3) ⇔ (4): This equivalence follows from Shannon([S, Proposition 4.18]) and Granja([Gr, Theorem 13]). □

Remark 7.8. Let $(R_0, \mathfrak{m}_0)$ be a d-dimensional regular local ring with $d \geq 2$, and let $\{(R_n, \mathfrak{m}_n)\}_{n\geq 0}$ be an infinite sequence of local quadratic transforms with $\dim R_n = \dim R_0$ for all n. Assume that $S := \bigcup_{n\geq 0} R_n$ is a valuation domain of rank greater than one. If R_0 is excellent and equicharacteristic zero, Granja proves

in [Gr, Theorem 17] that there exists for some nonnegative integer j a regular prime x in R_j such that $S \subset (R_j)_{xR_j}$.

Remark 7.9. Let notation be as in Setting 7.4. Assume that S is a valuation domain. Let G be the value group of a valuation v associated with S. and let H be the value group of a valuation ν associated with T. Then we have the following :

(1) S has rational rank 2 and $G \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus H$.
(2) T has rational rank 1.
(3) If T is DVR, then $G \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$.

Proof. To see item 1, by Granja [Gr, Proposition 14], the valuation domain S has rational rank 2. By [ZS2, Theorem 15, page 40] the set $G_P := \{ \pm v(\alpha) \mid \alpha \in S \setminus P \}$ is an isolated subgroup of G, (that is, G_P is a segment and a proper subgroup of G). By [ZS2, Theorem 17, page 43] the group H and the group G_P are isomorphic as ordered groups. Since $S_P = R_{xR}$ is a DVR, the value group of S_P is $\mathbb{Z}$. Hence by [ZS2, Theorem 17, page 43] the groups G/G_P and $\mathbb{Z}$ are order isomorphic. It follows that $G \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus G_P \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus H$. Items 2 and 3 follow from item 1. □

Remark 7.10. Let notation be as in Setting 7.4.

(1) If $d = 3$, then $T = \bigcup_{n\geq 0} A_n$ is a valuation domain by [A, Lemma 12].
(2) Let T be as in Setting 7.4. If T is a rank one valution domain and $s = \sum_{i\geq 0}^{\infty} \nu(\mathfrak{n}_i) < \infty$, then there exist infinitely many choices for the positive integer N and hence infinitely many nonassociate regular prime elements $f = x - z^N$ in R such that $S \subset R_{fR}$. Let $Q = S \cap fR_{fR}$. Then S/Q is an infinite directed union of $d-1$-dimensional regular local domains. If $d = 3$, then by item 1, each of the infinitely many S/Q is a valuation domain.

In Example 7.11 we construct an infinite directed sequence $\{(R_n, \mathfrak{m}_n)\}_{n\geq 0}$ of local quadratic transforms of a 3-dimensional regular local ring $R = R_0$ such that $S = \bigcup_{n\geq 0} R_n$ is a rank 2 valuation domain with value group $\mathbb{Z} \oplus H$, where H is rational rank 1 but not discrete.

Example 7.11. Let $(R_0, \mathfrak{m}_0)$ be a 3-dimensional regular local ring, and let $\mathfrak{m}_0 = (x, y, z)R_0$. We define a sequence $\{(R_n, \mathfrak{m}_n)\}_{n\geq 0}$ of local quadratic transforms of R_0 as follows. The sequence from R_0 to R_3 is

$$R := R_0 \overset{y}{\subset} R_1 \overset{z}{\subset} R_2 \overset{y'}{\subset} R_3$$

defined as

$$R_1 = R_0[\frac{\mathfrak{m}}{y}]_{(\frac{x}{y},y,\frac{z}{y})} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{m}_1 = (x_1,y_1,z_1)R_1$$
$$R_2 = R_1[\frac{\mathfrak{m}_1}{z_1}]_{(\frac{x_1}{z_1},\frac{y_1}{z_1},z_1)} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{m}_2 = (x_2,y_2,z_2)R_2 = (\frac{x_1}{z_1},\frac{y_1}{z_1},z_1)R_2$$
$$R_3 = R_2[\frac{\mathfrak{m}_2}{y_2}]_{(\frac{x_2}{y_2},y_2,\frac{z_2}{y_2}-1)} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{m}_3 = (x_3,y_3,z_3)R_3 = (\frac{x_2}{y_2},y_2,\frac{z_2}{y_2}-1)R_3$$

Starting from $(R_3,\mathfrak{m}_3)$ and $\mathfrak{m}_3 = (x_3,y_3,z_3)R_3$, we define a sequence

$$R_3 \overset{y}{\subset} R_4 \overset{y}{\subset} R_5 \overset{z}{\subset} R_6 \overset{y'}{\subset} R_7$$

of local quadratic transform with respect to the regular system of parameters x_3,y_3,z_3 of $\mathfrak{m}_3$ such that the 2^1 transforms from R_3 to R_5 are both monomial in the y-direction, the transform from R_5 to R_6 is monomial in the z-direction, and the transform from R_6 to R_7 is defined in a manner similar to that from R_2 to R_3. Thus we have

$$\mathfrak{m}_7 = (x_7,y_7,z_7)R_7 = \Big(\frac{x_6}{y_6},y_6,\frac{z_6}{y_6}-1\Big)R_7 = \Big(\frac{x_3}{y_3^3},\frac{y_3^3}{z_3},\frac{z_3^2}{y_3^5}-1\Big)R_7.$$

Let $t_0 := 0$ and $t_1 := 3$. For each integer $n \geq 2$, let $t_n = 2t_{n-1} - t_{n-2} + 2^{n-2}$. We inductively define a sequence of local quadratic transforms with respect to the regular system of parameters x_{t_n},y_{t_n},z_{t_n} of $\mathfrak{m}_{t_n}$ as follows:

$$R_{t_n} \overbrace{\overset{y}{\subset} R_{t_n+1} \overset{y}{\subset} \cdots \overset{y}{\subset}}^{2^n \text{ times}} R_{t_n+2^n} \overset{z}{\subset} R_{t_n+2^n+1} \overset{y'}{\subset} R_{t_n+2^n+2},$$

The 2^n transforms from R_{t_n} to $R_{t_n+2^n}$ are all monomial in the y-direction, the transform from $R_{t_n+2^n}$ to $R_{t_n+2^n+1}$ is monomial in the z-direction, and the transform from $R_{t_n+2^n+1}$ to $R_{t_n+2^n+2}$ is defined in a manner similar to that from R_6 to R_7. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{m}_{t_n} = (x_{t_n},y_{t_n},z_{t_n})R_{t_n} \overbrace{\overset{y}{\to} \cdots \overset{y}{\to}}^{2^n \text{ times}} \mathfrak{m}_{t_n+2^n} &= \Big(\frac{x_{t_n}}{y_{t_n}^{2^n}},\ y_{t_n},\ \frac{z_{t_n}}{y_{t_n}^{2^n}}\Big)R_{t_n+2^n} \\ \overset{z}{\to}\ \mathfrak{m}_{t_n+2^n+1} &= \Big(\frac{x_{t_n}}{z_{t_n}},\ \frac{y_{t_n}^{2^n+1}}{z_{t_n}},\ \frac{z_{t_n}}{y_{t_n}^{2^n}}\Big)R_{t_n+2^n+1} \\ \overset{y'}{\to}\ \mathfrak{m}_{t_n+2^n+2} &= \Big(\frac{x_{t_n}}{y_{t_n}^{2^n+1}},\ \frac{y_{t_n}^{2^n+1}}{z_{t_n}},\ \frac{z_{t_n}^2}{y_{t_n}^{2(2^n)+1}}-1\Big)R_{t_n+2^n+2}\end{aligned}$$

Let $S := \bigcup_{n\geq 0} R_n$ and $\mathfrak{m}_S := \bigcup_{n\geq 0}\mathfrak{m}_n$. Since we never divide in the x-direction, we have $S \subset R_{xR}$. Let $P := xR_{xR} \cap S$ and let $T := S/P$ and $\mathfrak{m}_T := \mathfrak{m}_S/P$. For each

$n \geq 0$, let x_n be the transform of x in R_n. Then $P = \bigcup_{n\geq 0} x_n R_n$. For each $n \geq 0$, let

$$A_n := \frac{R_n}{x_n R_n} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{n}_n := \frac{\mathfrak{m}_n}{x_n R_n}.$$

Each $(A_n, \mathfrak{n}_n)$ is a 2-dimensional regular local ring with maximal ideal $\mathfrak{n}_n$ generated by the images of y_n and z_n in A_n. By identifying y_n and z_n with their images in A_n, we have $\mathfrak{n}_n = (y_n, z_n)A_n$. Moreover $\{(A_n, \mathfrak{n}_n)\}_{n\geq 0}$ is an infinite sequence of local quadratic transforms of $(A_0, \mathfrak{n}_0)$, where $\mathfrak{n}_0 := (y, z)A_0$. By [A, Lemma 12], the ring $T = \bigcup_{n\geq 0} A_n$ is a valuation domain with maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}_T = \bigcup_{n\geq 0} \mathfrak{n}_n$. Let ν be a valuation associated with the valuation domain T. The sequence $\{(A_n, \mathfrak{n}_n)\}_{n\geq 0}$ is determined by the sequence $\{(R_n, \mathfrak{m}_n)\}_{n\geq 0}$. With the integers t_n as defined in the construction of the sequence $\{(R_n, \mathfrak{m}_n)\}_{n\geq 0}$, we have

$$A_{t_n} \overbrace{\overset{y}{\subset} A_{t_n+1} \overset{y}{\subset} \cdots \overset{y}{\subset}}^{2^n \text{ times}} A_{t_n+2^n} \overset{z}{\subset} A_{t_n+2^n+1} \overset{y'}{\subset} A_{t_n+2^n+2},$$

The 2^n transforms from A_{t_n} to $A_{t_n+2^n}$ are all monomial in the y-direction, the transform from $A_{t_n+2^n}$ to $A_{t_n+2^n+1}$ is monomial in the z-direction, and we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{n}_{t_n} = (y_{t_n}, z_{t_n})A_{t_n} \overbrace{\overset{y}{\to} \cdots \overset{y}{\to}}^{2^n \text{ times}} \mathfrak{n}_{t_n+2^n} &= \Big(y_{t_n}, \frac{z_{t_n}}{y_{t_n}^{2^n}}\Big)A_{t_n+2^n} \\
\overset{z}{\to} \mathfrak{n}_{t_n+2^n+1} &= \Big(\frac{y_{t_n}^{2^n+1}}{z_{t_n}}, \frac{z_{t_n}}{y_{t_n}^{2^n}}\Big)A_{t_n+2^n+1} \\
\overset{y'}{\to} \mathfrak{n}_{t_n+2^n+2} &= \Big(\frac{y_{t_n}^{2^n+1}}{z_{t_n}}, \frac{z_{t_n}^2}{y_{t_n}^{2(2^n)+1}} - 1\Big)A_{t_n+2^n+2}
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\frac{z_{t_n+2^n+1}}{y_{t_n+2^n+1}} = \frac{z_{t_n}^2}{y_{t_n}^{2(2^n)+1}} \in A_{t_n+2^n+2} \setminus \mathfrak{n}_{t_n+2^n+2}$, we have $\nu(z_{t_n}) = \frac{2(2^n)+1}{2}\nu(y_{t_n})$.

Assume that $\nu(y) = 1$. Then we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
s := \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \nu(\mathfrak{n}_i) = 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} + \{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\} + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{4} + \{\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{4}\} \\
+ \frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{8} + \overbrace{\{\frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{8} + \cdots + \frac{1}{8}\}}^{2^3 \text{ times}} + \frac{1}{16} + \frac{1}{16} + \overbrace{\{\frac{1}{16} + \frac{1}{16} + \cdots + \frac{1}{16}\}}^{2^4 \text{ times}} + \cdots = \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 7.5, the ring S is the valuation domain, the sequence $\{(R_n, \mathfrak{m}_n)\}_{n\geq 0}$ is height one directed, and S has rank 2. Let G be the value group of S and let H be the value group of T. By Remark 7.9, S has rational rank 2 and $G \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus H$. Clearly, T has rational rank 1, and T is not a DVR.

In Example 7.12 we construct an example similar to that of Example 7.11, but with $\dim R = 4$ and $\dim A = 3$.

Example 7.12. Let $(R_0, \mathfrak{m}_0)$ be a 4-dimensional regular local ring, and let $\mathfrak{m}_0 = (x, y, z, w)R_0$. We define a sequence $\{(R_n, \mathfrak{m}_n)\}_{n\geq 0}$ of local quadratic transforms of R_0 as follows: The sequence from R_0 to R_5 is

$$R := R_0 \overset{y}{\subset} R_1 \overset{y}{\subset} R_2 \overset{z}{\subset} R_3 \overset{w}{\subset} R_4 \overset{y'}{\subset} R_5$$

defined by

$$R_1 = R_0[\frac{\mathfrak{m}}{y}]_{(\frac{x}{y}, y, \frac{z}{y}, \frac{w}{y})} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{m}_1 = (x_1, y_1, z_1, w_1)R_1$$

$$R_2 = R_1[\frac{\mathfrak{m}_1}{y_1}]_{(\frac{x_1}{y_1}, y_1, \frac{z_1}{y_1}, \frac{w_1}{y_1})} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{m}_2 = (x_2, y_2, z_2, w_2)R_2$$

$$R_3 = R_2[\frac{\mathfrak{m}_2}{z_2}]_{(\frac{x_2}{z_2}, \frac{y_2}{z_2}, z_2, \frac{w_2}{z_2})} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{m}_3 = (x_3, y_3, z_3, w_3)R_3$$

$$R_4 = R_3[\frac{\mathfrak{m}_3}{w_3}]_{(\frac{x_3}{w_3}, \frac{y_3}{w_3}, \frac{z_3}{w_3}, w_3)} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{m}_4 = (x_4, y_4, z_4, w_4)R_4$$

$$R_5 = R_4[\frac{\mathfrak{m}_4}{y_4}]_{(\frac{x_4}{y_4}, y_4, \frac{z_4}{y_4}-1, \frac{w_4}{y_4}-1)} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{m}_5 = (x_5, y_5, z_5, w_5)R_5$$

$$= \Big(\frac{x_4}{y_4}, y_4, \frac{z_4}{y_4} - 1, \frac{w_4}{y_4} - 1\Big)R_5 = \Big(\frac{x}{y^3}, \frac{y^3}{w}, \frac{z^2}{y^5} - 1, \frac{w^2}{y^3 z} - 1\Big)R_5$$

Starting from $(R_5, \mathfrak{m}_5)$ and $\mathfrak{m}_5 = (x_5, y_5, z_5, w_5)R_5$, we define a sequence

$$R_5 \overset{y}{\subset} R_6 \overset{y}{\subset} R_7 \overset{y}{\subset} R_8 \overset{y}{\subset} R_9 \overset{z}{\subset} R_{10} \overset{w}{\subset} R_{11} \overset{y'}{\subset} R_{12}$$

of local quadratic transform with respect to the regular system of parameters x_5, y_5, z_5, w_5 of $\mathfrak{m}_5$ such that the 2^2 transforms from R_5 to R_9 are all monomial in the y-direction, the transform from R_9 to R_{10} is monomial in the z-direction, the transform from R_{10} to R_{11} is monomial in the w-direction, and the transform from R_{11} to R_{12} is defined in a manner similar to that from R_4 to R_5 Thus we have

$$\mathfrak{m}_{12} = (x_{12}, y_{12}, z_{12}, w_{12})R_{12} = \Big(\frac{x_{11}}{y_{11}}, y_{11}, \frac{z_{11}}{y_{11}}-1, \frac{w_{11}}{y_{11}}-1\Big)R_{12} = \Big(\frac{x_5}{y_5^5}, \frac{y_5^5}{w_5}, \frac{z_5^2}{y_5^9}-1, \frac{w_5^2}{y_5^5 z_5}-1\Big)R_{12}.$$

Let $t_0 := 0, t_1 := 5$, and $t_2 := 12 = 2t_1 - t_0 + 2$. For each $n \geq 3$, we let $t_n := 2t_{n-1} - t_{n-2} + 3 \cdot 2^{2(n-2)}$. We inductively define a sequence of local quadratic transforms with respect to the fixed regular system of parameters $x_{t_n}, y_{t_n}, z_{t_n}, w_{t_n}$ of $\mathfrak{m}_{t_n}$ as follows:

$$R_{t_n} \overbrace{\overset{y}{\subset} R_{t_n+1} \overset{y}{\subset} \cdots \overset{y}{\subset}}^{2^{2n} \text{ times}} R_{t_n+2^{2n}} \overset{z}{\subset} R_{t_n+2^{2n}+1} \overset{w}{\subset} R_{t_n+2^{2n}+2} \overset{y'}{\subset} R_{t_n+2^{2n}+3}$$

The 2^{2n} transforms rom R_{t_n} to $R_{t_n+2^{2n}}$ are all monomial in the y-direction, the transform from $R_{t_n+2^{2n}}$ to $R_{t_n+2^{2n}+1}$ is monomial in the z-direction, the transform

from $R_{t_n+2^{2n}+1}$ to $R_{t_n+2^{2n}+2}$ is monomial in the w-direction, and the transform from $R_{t_n+2^{2n}+2}$ to $R_{t_n+2^{2n}+3}$ is defined in a manner similar to that from R_4 to R_5. Thus with $\mathfrak{m}_{t_n} = (x_{t_n}, y_{t_n}, z_{t_n}, w_{t_n})R_{t_n}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{m}_{t_n} \overbrace{\xrightarrow{y} \cdots \xrightarrow{y}}^{2^{2n} \text{ times}} \mathfrak{m}_{t_n+2^{2n}} &= \Big(\frac{x_{t_n}}{y_{t_n}^{2^{2n}}},\ y_{t_n},\ \frac{z_{t_n}}{y_{t_n}^{2^{2n}}}, \frac{w_{t_n}}{y_{t_n}^{2^{2n}}}\Big) R_{t_n+2^{2n}} \\
\xrightarrow{z}\ \mathfrak{m}_{t_n+2^{2n}+1} &= \Big(\frac{x_{t_n}}{z_{t_n}},\ \frac{y_{t_n}^{2^{2n}+1}}{z_{t_n}},\ \frac{z_{t_n}}{y_{t_n}^{2^{2n}}},\ \frac{w_{t_n}}{z_{t_n}}\Big) R_{t_n+2^{2n}+1} \\
\xrightarrow{w}\ \mathfrak{m}_{t_n+2^{2n}+2} &= \Big(\frac{x_{t_n}}{w_{t_n}},\ \frac{y_{t_n}^{2^{2n}+1}}{w_{t_n}},\ \frac{z_{t_n}^2}{y_{t_n}^{2^{2n}} w_{t_n}},\ \frac{w_{t_n}}{z_{t_n}}\Big) R_{t_n+2^{2n}+2} \\
\xrightarrow{y'}\ \mathfrak{m}_{t_n+2^{2n}+3} &= \Big(\frac{x_{t_n}}{y_{t_n}^{2^{2n}+1}},\ \frac{y_{t_n}^{2^{2n}+1}}{w_{t_n}},\ \frac{z_{t_n}^2}{y_{t_n}^{2(2^{2n})+1}} - 1, \frac{w_{t_n}^2}{y_{t_n}^{2^{2n}+1} z_{t_n}} - 1\Big) R_{t_n+2^{2n}+3}
\end{aligned}$$

Let $S := \bigcup_{n\geq 0} R_n$ and $\mathfrak{m}_S := \bigcup_{n\geq 0} \mathfrak{m}_n$. Since we never divide in the x-direction, we have $S \subset R_{xR}$. Let $P := xR_{xR} \cap S$ and let $T := S/P$ and $\mathfrak{m}_T := \mathfrak{m}_S/P$. For each $n \geq 0$, let x_n be the transform of x in R_n. Then $P = \bigcup_{n\geq 0} x_n R_n$. For each $n \geq 0$, let $A_n := \frac{R_n}{x_n R_n}$ and $\mathfrak{n}_n := \frac{\mathfrak{m}_n}{x_n R_n}$. Each $(A_n, \mathfrak{n}_n)$ is a 3-dimensional regular local ring with maximal ideal $\mathfrak{n}_n$ generated by images of y_n, z_n and w_n in A_n. By identifying y_n, z_n and w_n with their images in A_n, we have $\mathfrak{n}_n = (y_n, z_n, w_n)A_n$. Moreover $\{(A_n, \mathfrak{n}_n)\}_{n\geq 0}$ is an infinite sequence of local quadratic transforms of $(A_0, \mathfrak{n}_0)$, where $\mathfrak{n}_0 := (y, z, w)A_0$. Then $T = \bigcup_{n\geq 0} A_n$ is a normal local domain with maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}_T = \bigcup_{n\geq 0} \mathfrak{n}_n$. The sequence $\{(A_n, \mathfrak{n}_n)\}_{n\geq 0}$ is determined by the sequence $\{(R_n, \mathfrak{m}_n)\}_{n\geq 0}$. Thus the local quadratic transforms from A_0 to A_5 are:

$$A_0 \overset{y}{\subset} A_1 \overset{y}{\subset} A_2 \overset{z}{\subset} A_3 \overset{w}{\subset} A_4 \overset{y'}{\subset} A_5$$

where

$$\mathfrak{n}_5 = (y_5, z_5, w_5)A_5 = \Big(y_4, \frac{z_4}{y_4} - 1, \frac{w_4}{y_4} - 1\Big)A_5 = \Big(\frac{y^3}{w}, \frac{z^2}{y^5} - 1, \frac{w^2}{y^3 z} - 1\Big)A_5$$

Let W be a valuation domain birationally dominating T and let ω be a valuation associated with W. Since $\frac{z_4}{y_4} = \frac{z^2}{y^5}$ and $\frac{w_4}{y_4} = \frac{w^2}{y^3 z}$ are in $A_5 \setminus \mathfrak{n}_5$, we have $\omega(z) = \frac{5}{2}\omega(y)$ and $\omega(w) = \frac{3}{2}\omega(y) + \frac{1}{2}\omega(z)$. The transforms from A_5 to A_{12} are:

$$A_5 \overset{y}{\subset} A_6 \overset{y}{\subset} A_7 \overset{y}{\subset} A_8 \overset{y}{\subset} A_9 \overset{z}{\subset} A_{10} \overset{w}{\subset} A_{11} \overset{y'}{\subset} A_{12}$$

where

$$\mathfrak{n}_{12} = (y_{12}, z_{12}, w_{12})A_{12} = \Big(y_{11}, \frac{z_{11}}{y_{11}} - 1, \frac{w_{11}}{y_{11}} - 1\Big)A_{12} = \Big(\frac{y_5^5}{w_5}, \frac{z_5^2}{y_5^9} - 1, \frac{w_5^2}{y_5^5 z_5} - 1\Big)A_{12}.$$

Since $\frac{z_{12}}{y_{12}} = \frac{z_5^2}{y_5^9}$ and $\frac{w_{11}}{y_{11}} = \frac{y_5^2}{y_5^5 z_5}$ are in $A_{12} \setminus \mathfrak{n}_{12}$, we have $\omega(z_5) = \frac{9}{2}\omega(y_5)$ and $\omega(w_5) = \frac{5}{2}\omega(y_5) + \frac{1}{2}\omega(z_5)$. With the integers t_n as defined in the construction of the sequence $\{(R_n, \mathfrak{m}_n)\}_{n\geq 0}$, we have

$$A_{t_n} \overbrace{\overset{y}{\subset} A_{t_n+1} \overset{y}{\subset} \cdots \overset{y}{\subset}}^{2^{2n} \text{ times}} A_{t_n+2^{2n}} \overset{z}{\subset} A_{t_n+2^{2n}+1} \overset{w}{\subset} A_{t_n+2^{2n}+2} \overset{y'}{\subset} A_{t_n+2^{2n}+3}.$$

The 2^{2n} transforms from A_{t_n} to $A_{t_n+2^{2n}}$ are all monomial in the y-direction, the transform from $A_{t_n+2^{2n}}$ to $A_{t_n+2^{2n}+1}$ is monomial in the z-direction, the transform from $A_{t_n+2^{2n}+1}$ to $A_{t_n+2^{2n}+2}$ is monomial in the w-direction, and with $\mathfrak{n}_{t_n} = (y_{t_n}, z_{t_n}, w_{t_n})R_{t_n}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{n}_{t_n} \overbrace{\overset{y}{\to} \cdots \overset{y}{\to}}^{2^{2n} \text{ times}} \mathfrak{n}_{t_n+2^{2n}} &= \Big(y_{t_n},\ \frac{z_{t_n}}{y_{t_n}^{2^{2n}}},\ \frac{w_{t_n}}{y_{t_n}^{2^{2n}}}\Big) A_{t_n+2^{2n}} \\
\overset{z}{\to}\ \mathfrak{n}_{t_n+2^{2n}+1} &= \Big(\frac{y_{t_n}^{2^{2n}+1}}{z_{t_n}},\ \frac{z_{t_n}}{y_{t_n}^{2^{2n}}},\ \frac{w_{t_n}}{z_{t_n}}\Big) A_{t_n+2^{2n}+1} \\
\overset{w}{\to}\ \mathfrak{n}_{t_n+2^{2n}+2} &= \Big(\frac{y_{t_n}^{2^{2n}+1}}{w_{t_n}},\ \frac{z_{t_n}^2}{y_{t_n}^{2^{2n}} w_{t_n}},\ \frac{w_{t_n}}{z_{t_n}}\Big) A_{t_n+2^{2n}+2} \\
\overset{y'}{\to}\ \mathfrak{n}_{t_n+2^{2n}+3} &= \Big(\frac{y_{t_n}^{2^{2n}+1}}{w_{t_n}},\ \frac{z_{t_n}^2}{y_{t_n}^{2(2^{2n})+1}} - 1,\ \frac{w_{t_n}^2}{y_{t_n}^{2^{2n}+1} z_{t_n}} - 1\Big) A_{t_n+2^{2n}+3}
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\frac{z_{t_n}^2}{y_{t_n}^{2(2^{2n})+1}}$ and $\frac{w_{t_n}^2}{y_{t_n}^{2^{2n}+1} z_{t_n}}$ in $A_{t_n+2^{2n}+3} \setminus \mathfrak{n}_{t_n+2^{2n}+3}$, we have $\omega(z_{t_n}) = \frac{2(2^{2n})+1}{2}\omega(y_{t_n})$ and $\omega(w_{t_n}) = \frac{2^{2n}+1}{2}\omega(y_{t_n}) + \frac{1}{2}\omega(z_{t_n})$. Assume that $\omega(y) = 1$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
s := \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \omega(\mathfrak{n}_i) = 1 + 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \Big\{\frac{1}{2^2} + \frac{1}{2^2}\Big\} + \overbrace{\Big\{\frac{1}{2^2} + \frac{1}{2^2} + \frac{1}{2^2} + \frac{1}{2^2}\Big\}}^{2^{2\cdot 1} \text{ times}} + \frac{1}{2^3} + \Big\{\frac{1}{2^4} + \frac{1}{2^4}\Big\} \\
+ \overbrace{\Big\{\frac{1}{2^4} + \frac{1}{2^4} + \cdots + \frac{1}{2^4}\Big\}}^{2^{2\cdot 2} \text{ times}} + \frac{1}{2^5} + \Big\{\frac{1}{2^6} + \frac{1}{2^6}\Big\} + \overbrace{\Big\{\frac{1}{2^6} + \frac{1}{2^6} + \cdots + \frac{1}{2^6}\Big\}}^{2^{2\cdot 3} \text{ times}} + \frac{1}{2^7} + \cdots = \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 7.3, the sequence $\{(A_n, \mathfrak{n}_n)\}_{n\geq 0}$ switches strongly infinitely often. Hence by Remark 3.3, the ring $T = \bigcup_{n\geq 0} A_n$ is a valuation domain and T has rank 1. Notice that $T = W$. By Theorem 7.5, the ring S is a valuation domain, the sequence $\{(R_n, \mathfrak{m}_n)\}_{n\geq 0}$ is height one directed, and S has rank 2. Let G be the value group of S and H be the value group of T. By Remark 7.9, the ring S has rational rank 2 and $G \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus H$. Clearly, T has rational rank 1, and T is not a DVR.

References

[A] S.S. Abhyankar, On the valuations centered in a local domain, Amer. J. Math. **78** (1956) 321-348.

[GR] A. Granja and C. Rodríguez, Proximity relations for real rank one valuations dominating a local regular ring, Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana 19 (2003), no. 2, 393-412.

[Gr] A. Granja, Valuations determined by quadratic transforms for a regular ring, J. Algebra, **280** (2004), 699-718.

[GMR] A. Granja, M.C. Martínez, and C. Rodríguez, Valuation dominating regular local rings and proximity relations, J. of Pure and Algebra, **209** (2007), 371-382.

[HKT] W. Heinzer, M.-K. Kim, and M. Toeniskoetter, Finitely supported *-simple complete ideals in a regular local ring , J. Algebra, **401** (2014), 76-106

[HRW] W. Heinzer, C. Rotthaus and S. Wiegand, *Examples Using Power Series Over Noetherian Integral Domains*, to appear.

[HubS] R. Hübl and I. Swanson, Adjoints of ideals, Michigan Math. J., **57** (2008), 447-462.

[KS] K. Kiyek and J. Stückrad, Integral closure of monomial ideals on regular sequences , Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana, **19** (2003), 483-508.

[L] J. Lipman, On complete ideals in regular local rings, Algebraic Geometry and Commutative Algebra in Honor of Masayoshi Nagata, (1986), 203-231.

[M] H. Matsumura, *Commutative Ring Theory*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1986.

[N] M. Nagata, *Local Rings*, Interscience, New York, 1962.

[S] D. Shannon, Monoidal transforms of regular local rings, Amer. J. Math. **95** (1973), 294-320.

[SH] I. Swanson and C. Huneke, *Integral Closure of Ideals, Rings, and Modules*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series 336, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2006.

[ZS2] O. Zariski and P. Samuel,*Commutative Algebra, Vol. 2*, D. Van Nostrand, New York, 1960.

Department of Mathematics, Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana 47907 U.S.A.

E-mail address: heinzer@math.purdue.edu

Department of Mathematics, Sungkyunkwan University, Jangangu Suwon 440-746, Korea

E-mail address: mkkim@skku.edu

Department of Mathematics, Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana 47907 U.S.A.

E-mail address: mtoenisk@math.purdue.edu